

Selective properties of products of Fréchet-Urysohn spaces

Lyubomyr Zdomskyy

Institute für Mathematik (KGRC)
Universität Wien

Toposym 2021/22
July 29, 2022, Prague, Czech Republic

Joint work with Serhii Bardyla and Fortunato Maesano

X is *Fréchet-Urysohn*, if for any $x \in \bar{A} \subset X$ there exists a sequence in A convergent to x .

X is *Fréchet-Urysohn*, if for any $x \in \bar{A} \subset X$ there exists a sequence in A convergent to x .

Example. There exists a countable FU space X and a metrizable space Y such that $X \times Y$ is not FU.

X is *Fréchet-Urysohn*, if for any $x \in \bar{A} \subset X$ there exists a sequence in A convergent to x .

Example. There exists a countable FU space X and a metrizable space Y such that $X \times Y$ is not FU.

E.g., let X be the classical FU fan, i.e.,

$\omega^2 \cup \{*\}$ with the strongest topology in which $\{n\} \times \omega$ converges to $*$ for all $n \in \omega$,

X is *Fréchet-Urysohn*, if for any $x \in \bar{A} \subset X$ there exists a sequence in A convergent to x .

Example. There exists a countable FU space X and a metrizable space Y such that $X \times Y$ is not FU.

E.g., let X be the classical FU fan, i.e.,

$\omega^2 \cup \{*\}$ with the strongest topology in which $\{n\} \times \omega$ converges to $*$ for all $n \in \omega$,

and Y be the minimal non-locally compact metric space, say

$Y = \{(0, 0)\} \cup \{(\frac{1}{2^n}, \frac{1}{2^{n+m}}) : n, m \in \omega\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. □

X is *Fréchet-Urysohn*, if for any $x \in \bar{A} \subset X$ there exists a sequence in A convergent to x .

Example. There exists a countable FU space X and a metrizable space Y such that $X \times Y$ is not FU.

E.g., let X be the classical FU fan, i.e.,

$\omega^2 \cup \{*\}$ with the strongest topology in which $\{n\} \times \omega$ converges to $*$ for all $n \in \omega$,

and Y be the minimal non-locally compact metric space, say

$Y = \{(0, 0)\} \cup \{(\frac{1}{2^n}, \frac{1}{2^{n+m}}) : n, m \in \omega\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. □

The preservation of the FU property by products was studied in detail by many people: P. Simon, Costantini, Nogura, ...

The preservation of the FU property by products was studied in detail by many people: P. Simon, Costantini, Nogura, ... This led Arhangel'skii to introduction of α_i properties, to be considered later in the talk.

The preservation of the FU property by products was studied in detail by many people: P. Simon, Costantini, Nogura, ... This led Arhangel'skii to introduction of α_i properties, to be considered later in the talk.

This was roughly speaking a search of *additional properties*, both global (e.g., compactness and weakenings thereof) and local (e.g., α_i) which guarantee the preservation of the FU by products.

The preservation of the FU property by products was studied in detail by many people: P. Simon, Costantini, Nogura, ... This led Arhangel'skii to introduction of α_i properties, to be considered later in the talk.

This was roughly speaking a search of *additional properties*, both global (e.g., compactness and weakenings thereof) and local (e.g., α_i) which guarantee the preservation of the FU by products.

We go in a different direction: *Given a property P, do products of two (countable) FU spaces have it?*

The preservation of the FU property by products was studied in detail by many people: P. Simon, Costantini, Nogura, ... This led Arhangel'skii to introduction of α_i properties, to be considered later in the talk.

This was roughly speaking a search of *additional properties*, both global (e.g., compactness and weakenings thereof) and local (e.g., α_i) which guarantee the preservation of the FU by products.

We go in a different direction: *Given a property P , do products of two (countable) FU spaces have it?*

Since the singleton is FU, it makes sense to consider *only* P which follow from being FU.

The preservation of the FU property by products was studied in detail by many people: P. Simon, Costantini, Nogura, ... This led Arhangel'skii to introduction of α_i properties, to be considered later in the talk.

This was roughly speaking a search of *additional properties*, both global (e.g., compactness and weakenings thereof) and local (e.g., α_i) which guarantee the preservation of the FU by products.

We go in a different direction: *Given a property P , do products of two (countable) FU spaces have it?*

Since the singleton is FU, it makes sense to consider *only P* which follow from being FU. In what follows we deal with *combinatorial density properties*.

M - and $(m)H$ -separable spaces

X is *H -separable*, if for every sequence $\langle D_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of dense subsets of X ,

M - and $(m)H$ -separable spaces

X is *H -separable*, if for every sequence $\langle D_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of dense subsets of X , one can pick finite subsets $F_n \subset D_n$ so that every nonempty open set $O \subset X$ meets all but finitely many F_n 's.

M - and $(m)H$ -separable spaces

X is *H -separable*, if for every sequence $\langle D_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of dense subsets of X , one can pick finite subsets $F_n \subset D_n$ so that every nonempty open set $O \subset X$ meets all but finitely many F_n 's.

Note that this is equivalent to $\bigcup_{n \in I} F_n$ being dense for all infinite $I \subset \omega$.

M - and $(m)H$ -separable spaces

X is *H -separable*, if for every sequence $\langle D_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of dense subsets of X , one can pick finite subsets $F_n \subset D_n$ so that every nonempty open set $O \subset X$ meets all but finitely many F_n 's.

Note that this is equivalent to $\bigcup_{n \in I} F_n$ being dense for all infinite $I \subset \omega$.

If the above selection is possible only for decreasing $\langle D_n : n \in \omega \rangle$, X is called *mH -separable*.

M - and $(m)H$ -separable spaces

X is *H -separable*, if for every sequence $\langle D_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of dense subsets of X , one can pick finite subsets $F_n \subset D_n$ so that every nonempty open set $O \subset X$ meets all but finitely many F_n 's.

Note that this is equivalent to $\bigcup_{n \in I} F_n$ being dense for all infinite $I \subset \omega$.

If the above selection is possible only for decreasing $\langle D_n : n \in \omega \rangle$, X is called *mH -separable*.

If we only demand that $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n$ is dense, we get the definition of *M -separable* spaces.

M - and $(m)H$ -separable spaces

X is *H-separable*, if for every sequence $\langle D_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of dense subsets of X , one can pick finite subsets $F_n \subset D_n$ so that every nonempty open set $O \subset X$ meets all but finitely many F_n 's.

Note that this is equivalent to $\bigcup_{n \in I} F_n$ being dense for all infinite $I \subset \omega$.

If the above selection is possible only for decreasing $\langle D_n : n \in \omega \rangle$, X is called *mH-separable*.

If we only demand that $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n$ is dense, we get the definition of *M-separable* spaces.

H -separable \Rightarrow mH -separable \Rightarrow mM -separable \Leftrightarrow M -separable

M - and $(m)H$ -separable spaces

X is *H-separable*, if for every sequence $\langle D_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of dense subsets of X , one can pick finite subsets $F_n \subset D_n$ so that every nonempty open set $O \subset X$ meets all but finitely many F_n 's.

Note that this is equivalent to $\bigcup_{n \in I} F_n$ being dense for all infinite $I \subset \omega$.

If the above selection is possible only for decreasing $\langle D_n : n \in \omega \rangle$, X is called *mH-separable*.

If we only demand that $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n$ is dense, we get the definition of *M-separable* spaces.

H -separable \Rightarrow mH -separable \Rightarrow mM -separable \Leftrightarrow M -separable

FU
↗

M - and $(m)H$ -separable spaces

X is *H-separable*, if for every sequence $\langle D_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of dense subsets of X , one can pick finite subsets $F_n \subset D_n$ so that every nonempty open set $O \subset X$ meets all but finitely many F_n 's.

Note that this is equivalent to $\bigcup_{n \in I} F_n$ being dense for all infinite $I \subset \omega$.

If the above selection is possible only for decreasing $\langle D_n : n \in \omega \rangle$, X is called *mH-separable*.

If we only demand that $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n$ is dense, we get the definition of *M-separable* spaces.

H -separable \Rightarrow mH -separable \Rightarrow mM -separable \Leftrightarrow M -separable
FU \nearrow

M -separable and H -separable spaces were introduced by Scheepers (1999) and Bella-Bonanzinga-Matveev (2009), respectively.

M - and $(m)H$ -separable spaces

X is *H -separable*, if for every sequence $\langle D_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of dense subsets of X , one can pick finite subsets $F_n \subset D_n$ so that every nonempty open set $O \subset X$ meets all but finitely many F_n 's.

Note that this is equivalent to $\bigcup_{n \in I} F_n$ being dense for all infinite $I \subset \omega$.

If the above selection is possible only for decreasing $\langle D_n : n \in \omega \rangle$, X is called *mH -separable*.

If we only demand that $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n$ is dense, we get the definition of *M -separable* spaces.

H -separable $\Rightarrow mH$ -separable $\Rightarrow mM$ -separable $\Leftrightarrow M$ -separable
FU \nearrow

M -separable and H -separable spaces were introduced by Scheepers (1999) and Bella-Bonanzinga-Matveev (2009), respectively. The latter equivalence was observed by Gruenhage-M. Sakai (2011).

Theorem (Barman-Dow 2011)

(CH) There exist countable FU spaces X, Y whose product $X \times Y$ is not M -separable.

Theorem (Barman-Dow 2011)

(CH) There exist countable FU spaces X, Y whose product $X \times Y$ is not M -separable.

Theorem (Barman-Dow 2012)

(PFA) The product of any two countable FU spaces is M -separable.

Theorem (Barman-Dow 2011)

(CH) There exist countable FU spaces X, Y whose product $X \times Y$ is not M -separable.

Theorem (Barman-Dow 2012)

(PFA) The product of any two countable FU spaces is M -separable.

Question

Is MA sufficient in the theorem above?

Similar results via classical C_p -dualities for covering properties

Let $X \subset 2^\omega$.

Similar results via classical C_p -dualities for covering properties

Let $X \subset 2^\omega$.

- An infinite family $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$ is an ω -cover of X , if for any $F \in [X]^{<\omega}$ there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}$ with $F \subset U$;

Similar results via classical C_p -dualities for covering properties

Let $X \subset 2^\omega$.

- An infinite family $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$ is an ω -cover of X , if for any $F \in [X]^{<\omega}$ there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}$ with $F \subset U$;
- An infinite family $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$ is a γ -cover of X , if for any $F \in [X]^{<\omega}$ we have $F \subset U$ for all but finitely many $U \in \mathcal{U}$.

Similar results via classical C_p -dualities for covering properties

Let $X \subset 2^\omega$.

- An infinite family $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$ is an ω -cover of X , if for any $F \in [X]^{<\omega}$ there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}$ with $F \subset U$;
- An infinite family $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$ is a γ -cover of X , if for any $F \in [X]^{<\omega}$ we have $F \subset U$ for all but finitely many $U \in \mathcal{U}$.
- X is a γ -set, if every ω -cover of X contains a γ -subcover.

Similar results via classical C_p -dualities for covering properties

Let $X \subset 2^\omega$.

- An infinite family $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$ is an **ω -cover** of X , if for any $F \in [X]^{<\omega}$ there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}$ with $F \subset U$;
- An infinite family $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$ is a **γ -cover** of X , if for any $F \in [X]^{<\omega}$ we have $F \subset U$ for all but finitely many $U \in \mathcal{U}$.
- X is a **γ -set**, if every ω -cover of X contains a γ -subcover.
- X is **Menger**, if for every sequence $\langle U_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ in $\mathcal{O}(X)$ there exists $\langle \mathcal{V}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $\mathcal{V}_n \in [U_n]^{<\omega}$ and $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \cup \mathcal{V}_n$.

Similar results via classical C_p -dualities for covering properties

Let $X \subset 2^\omega$.

- An infinite family $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$ is an **ω -cover** of X , if for any $F \in [X]^{<\omega}$ there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}$ with $F \subset U$;
- An infinite family $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$ is a **γ -cover** of X , if for any $F \in [X]^{<\omega}$ we have $F \subset U$ for all but finitely many $U \in \mathcal{U}$.
- X is a **γ -set**, if every ω -cover of X contains a γ -subcover.
- X is **Menger**, if for every sequence $\langle U_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ in $\mathcal{O}(X)$ there exists $\langle \mathcal{V}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $\mathcal{V}_n \in [U_n]^{<\omega}$ and $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \cup \mathcal{V}_n$.

Theorem (Gerlits-Nagy 1982.)

$C_p(X)$ is *FU* iff X is a γ -set.

□

Similar results via classical C_p -dualities for covering properties

Let $X \subset 2^\omega$.

- An infinite family $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$ is an **ω -cover** of X , if for any $F \in [X]^{<\omega}$ there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}$ with $F \subset U$;
- An infinite family $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$ is a **γ -cover** of X , if for any $F \in [X]^{<\omega}$ we have $F \subset U$ for all but finitely many $U \in \mathcal{U}$.
- X is a **γ -set**, if every ω -cover of X contains a γ -subcover.
- X is **Menger**, if for every sequence $\langle U_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ in $\mathcal{O}(X)$ there exists $\langle \mathcal{V}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $\mathcal{V}_n \in [U_n]^{<\omega}$ and $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \cup \mathcal{V}_n$.

Theorem (Gerlits-Nagy 1982.)

$C_p(X)$ is FU iff X is a γ -set. □

Theorem (Scheepers 1999.)

$C_p(X)$ is M-separable iff X^n is Menger for all $n \in \omega$. □

Similar results via classical C_p -dualities for covering properties

Let $X \subset 2^\omega$.

- An infinite family $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$ is an **ω -cover** of X , if for any $F \in [X]^{<\omega}$ there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}$ with $F \subset U$;
- An infinite family $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$ is a **γ -cover** of X , if for any $F \in [X]^{<\omega}$ we have $F \subset U$ for all but finitely many $U \in \mathcal{U}$.
- X is a **γ -set**, if every ω -cover of X contains a γ -subcover.
- X is **Menger**, if for every sequence $\langle U_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ in $\mathcal{O}(X)$ there exists $\langle \mathcal{V}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $\mathcal{V}_n \in [U_n]^{<\omega}$ and $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \cup \mathcal{V}_n$.

Theorem (Gerlits-Nagy 1982.)

$C_p(X)$ is FU iff X is a γ -set. □

Theorem (Scheepers 1999.)

$C_p(X)$ is M-separable iff X^n is Menger for all $n \in \omega$. □

Thus γ -sets have all finite powers Menger.

Similar results via classical C_p -dualities for covering properties

Let $X \subset 2^\omega$.

- An infinite family $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$ is an **ω -cover** of X , if for any $F \in [X]^{<\omega}$ there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}$ with $F \subset U$;
- An infinite family $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$ is a **γ -cover** of X , if for any $F \in [X]^{<\omega}$ we have $F \subset U$ for all but finitely many $U \in \mathcal{U}$.
- X is a **γ -set**, if every ω -cover of X contains a γ -subcover.
- X is **Menger**, if for every sequence $\langle U_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ in $\mathcal{O}(X)$ there exists $\langle \mathcal{V}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $\mathcal{V}_n \in [U_n]^{<\omega}$ and $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \cup \mathcal{V}_n$.

Theorem (Gerlits-Nagy 1982.)

$C_p(X)$ is FU iff X is a γ -set. □

Theorem (Scheepers 1999.)

$C_p(X)$ is M -separable iff X^n is Menger for all $n \in \omega$. □

Thus γ -sets have all finite powers Menger. There are actually “worlds” in between.

Similar results via classical C_p -dualities for covering properties 2

Theorem (A. Miller-Tsaban-Z. 2016)

(CH) There exist γ -sets X_0, X_1 with non-Menger product $X_0 \times X_1$.

Similar results via classical C_p -dualities for covering properties 2

Theorem (A. Miller-Tsaban-Z. 2016)

(CH) There exist γ -sets X_0, X_1 with non-Menger product $X_0 \times X_1$.

Corollary

(CH) There are two countable topological FU groups with non-M-separable product.

Similar results via classical C_p -dualities for covering properties 2

Theorem (A. Miller-Tsaban-Z. 2016)

(CH) There exist γ -sets X_0, X_1 with non-Menger product $X_0 \times X_1$.

Corollary

(CH) There are two countable topological FU groups with non-M-separable product.

Proof.

Let X_0, X_1 be such as in the theorem above.

Similar results via classical C_p -dualities for covering properties 2

Theorem (A. Miller-Tsaban-Z. 2016)

(CH) There exist γ -sets X_0, X_1 with non-Menger product $X_0 \times X_1$.

Corollary

(CH) There are two countable topological FU groups with non-M-separable product.

Proof.

Let X_0, X_1 be such as in the theorem above. Then $(X_0 \sqcup X_1)^2$ is not Menger, so $C_p(X_0 \sqcup X_1) = C_p(X_0) \times C_p(X_1)$ is not M -separable.

Similar results via classical C_p -dualities for covering properties 2

Theorem (A. Miller-Tsaban-Z. 2016)

(CH) There exist γ -sets X_0, X_1 with non-Menger product $X_0 \times X_1$.

Corollary

(CH) There are two countable topological FU groups with non- M -separable product.

Proof.

Let X_0, X_1 be such as in the theorem above. Then $(X_0 \sqcup X_1)^2$ is not Menger, so $C_p(X_0 \sqcup X_1) = C_p(X_0) \times C_p(X_1)$ is not M -separable. Take a sequence $\langle D_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of dense subsets of $C_p(X_0) \times C_p(X_1)$ witnessing this and let G_i be the subgroup of $C_p(X_i)$ generated by $pr_i(\bigcup_{n \in \omega} D_n)$.

Similar results via classical C_p -dualities for covering properties 2

Theorem (A. Miller-Tsaban-Z. 2016)

(CH) There exist γ -sets X_0, X_1 with non-Menger product $X_0 \times X_1$.

Corollary

(CH) There are two countable topological FU groups with non- M -separable product.

Proof.

Let X_0, X_1 be such as in the theorem above. Then $(X_0 \sqcup X_1)^2$ is not Menger, so $C_p(X_0 \sqcup X_1) = C_p(X_0) \times C_p(X_1)$ is not M -separable. Take a sequence $\langle D_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of dense subsets of $C_p(X_0) \times C_p(X_1)$ witnessing this and let G_i be the subgroup of $C_p(X_i)$ generated by $pr_i(\bigcup_{n \in \omega} D_n)$. $G_0 \times G_1$ is not M -separable.

Similar results via classical C_p -dualities for covering properties 2

Theorem (A. Miller-Tsaban-Z. 2016)

(CH) There exist γ -sets X_0, X_1 with non-Menger product $X_0 \times X_1$.

Corollary

(CH) There are two countable topological FU groups with non-M-separable product.

Proof.

Let X_0, X_1 be such as in the theorem above. Then $(X_0 \sqcup X_1)^2$ is not Menger, so $C_p(X_0 \sqcup X_1) = C_p(X_0) \times C_p(X_1)$ is not M -separable. Take a sequence $\langle D_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of dense subsets of $C_p(X_0) \times C_p(X_1)$ witnessing this and let G_i be the subgroup of $C_p(X_i)$ generated by $pr_i(\bigcup_{n \in \omega} D_n)$. $G_0 \times G_1$ is not M -separable. □

Corollary (Ess. Barman-Dow)

(PFA) The product of two γ -sets is Menger.

Similar results via classical C_p -dualities for covering properties 2

Theorem (A. Miller-Tsaban-Z. 2016)

(CH) There exist γ -sets X_0, X_1 with non-Menger product $X_0 \times X_1$.

Corollary

(CH) There are two countable topological FU groups with non-M-separable product.

Proof.

Let X_0, X_1 be such as in the theorem above. Then $(X_0 \sqcup X_1)^2$ is not Menger, so $C_p(X_0 \sqcup X_1) = C_p(X_0) \times C_p(X_1)$ is not M -separable. Take a sequence $\langle D_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of dense subsets of $C_p(X_0) \times C_p(X_1)$ witnessing this and let G_i be the subgroup of $C_p(X_i)$ generated by $pr_i(\bigcup_{n \in \omega} D_n)$. $G_0 \times G_1$ is not M -separable. □

Corollary (Ess. Barman-Dow)

(PFA) The product of two γ -sets is Menger.

Two similar questions

Question

Does MA imply that the product of two γ -sets is Menger?

Two similar questions

Question

Does MA imply that the product of two γ -sets is Menger?

Question

Does MA imply that the product of two countable FU-sets is M-separable?

Two similar questions

Question

Does MA imply that the product of two γ -sets is Menger?

Question

Does MA imply that the product of two countable FU-sets is M-separable?

“No” to the second one, the first one is open.

Mission impossible: Trying to get a non- M -separable product from MA

- We start with topologies $\tau_0 = \sigma_0$ on ω turning it into a copy of \mathbb{Q} .

Mission impossible: Trying to get a non- M -separable product from MA

- We start with topologies $\tau_0 = \sigma_0$ on ω turning it into a copy of \mathbb{Q} .
- Fix a sequence $\langle E_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of mutually disjoint dense subsets of $\langle \omega^2, \tau_0 \otimes \sigma_0 \rangle$ such that $E := \bigcup_{n \in \omega} E_n$ is a bijection.

Mission impossible: Trying to get a non- M -separable product from MA

- We start with topologies $\tau_0 = \sigma_0$ on ω turning it into a copy of \mathbb{Q} .
- Fix a sequence $\langle E_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of mutually disjoint dense subsets of $\langle \omega^2, \tau_0 \otimes \sigma_0 \rangle$ such that $E := \bigcup_{n \in \omega} E_n$ is a bijection.
- Our goal will be to construct recursively over $\alpha \in \mathfrak{c}$ increasing sequences $\langle \tau_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathfrak{c} \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathfrak{c} \rangle$ of topologies on ω ,

Mission impossible: Trying to get a non- M -separable product from MA

- We start with topologies $\tau_0 = \sigma_0$ on ω turning it into a copy of \mathbb{Q} .
- Fix a sequence $\langle E_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of mutually disjoint dense subsets of $\langle \omega^2, \tau_0 \otimes \sigma_0 \rangle$ such that $E := \bigcup_{n \in \omega} E_n$ is a bijection.
- Our goal will be to construct recursively over $\alpha \in \mathfrak{c}$ increasing sequences $\langle \tau_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathfrak{c} \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathfrak{c} \rangle$ of topologies on ω , such that $X = \langle \omega, \tau_{\mathfrak{c}} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{c}} \tau_\alpha \rangle$ $Y = \langle \omega, \sigma_{\mathfrak{c}} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{c}} \sigma_\alpha \rangle$ are FU spaces with non- M -separable product, as witnessed by $\langle E_n : n \in \omega \rangle$.

Mission impossible: Trying to get a non- M -separable product from MA

- We start with topologies $\tau_0 = \sigma_0$ on ω turning it into a copy of \mathbb{Q} .
- Fix a sequence $\langle E_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of mutually disjoint dense subsets of $\langle \omega^2, \tau_0 \otimes \sigma_0 \rangle$ such that $E := \bigcup_{n \in \omega} E_n$ is a bijection.
- Our goal will be to construct recursively over $\alpha \in \mathfrak{c}$ increasing sequences $\langle \tau_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathfrak{c} \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathfrak{c} \rangle$ of topologies on ω , such that $X = \langle \omega, \tau_\mathfrak{c} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{c}} \tau_\alpha \rangle$ $Y = \langle \omega, \sigma_\mathfrak{c} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{c}} \sigma_\alpha \rangle$ are FU spaces with non- M -separable product, as witnessed by $\langle E_n : n \in \omega \rangle$.
- At stage α we face a pair $\langle S_\alpha, x_\alpha \rangle$, and have to do something if x_α is a limit point of S_α with respect to τ_α .

Mission impossible: Trying to get a non- M -separable product from MA

- We start with topologies $\tau_0 = \sigma_0$ on ω turning it into a copy of \mathbb{Q} .
- Fix a sequence $\langle E_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of mutually disjoint dense subsets of $\langle \omega^2, \tau_0 \otimes \sigma_0 \rangle$ such that $E := \bigcup_{n \in \omega} E_n$ is a bijection.
- Our goal will be to construct recursively over $\alpha \in \mathfrak{c}$ increasing sequences $\langle \tau_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathfrak{c} \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathfrak{c} \rangle$ of topologies on ω , such that $X = \langle \omega, \tau_\mathfrak{c} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{c}} \tau_\alpha \rangle$ $Y = \langle \omega, \sigma_\mathfrak{c} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{c}} \sigma_\alpha \rangle$ are FU spaces with non- M -separable product, as witnessed by $\langle E_n : n \in \omega \rangle$.
- At stage α we face a pair $\langle S_\alpha, x_\alpha \rangle$, and have to do something if x_α is a limit point of S_α with respect to τ_α . Namely, we should include into $\tau_{\alpha+1}$ a new set which contains x_α but no other point of S_α ,

Mission impossible: Trying to get a non- M -separable product from MA

- We start with topologies $\tau_0 = \sigma_0$ on ω turning it into a copy of \mathbb{Q} .
- Fix a sequence $\langle E_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of mutually disjoint dense subsets of $\langle \omega^2, \tau_0 \otimes \sigma_0 \rangle$ such that $E := \bigcup_{n \in \omega} E_n$ is a bijection.
- Our goal will be to construct recursively over $\alpha \in \mathfrak{c}$ increasing sequences $\langle \tau_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathfrak{c} \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathfrak{c} \rangle$ of topologies on ω , such that $X = \langle \omega, \tau_\mathfrak{c} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{c}} \tau_\alpha \rangle$ $Y = \langle \omega, \sigma_\mathfrak{c} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{c}} \sigma_\alpha \rangle$ are FU spaces with non- M -separable product, as witnessed by $\langle E_n : n \in \omega \rangle$.
- At stage α we face a pair $\langle S_\alpha, x_\alpha \rangle$, and have to do something if x_α is a limit point of S_α with respect to τ_α . Namely, we should include into $\tau_{\alpha+1}$ a new set which contains x_α but no other point of S_α , or **better** fix a sequence Y_α in S_α convergent to x_α w.r.t. to τ_α ,

Mission impossible: Trying to get a non- M -separable product from MA

- We start with topologies $\tau_0 = \sigma_0$ on ω turning it into a copy of \mathbb{Q} .
- Fix a sequence $\langle E_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of mutually disjoint dense subsets of $\langle \omega^2, \tau_0 \otimes \sigma_0 \rangle$ such that $E := \bigcup_{n \in \omega} E_n$ is a bijection.
- Our goal will be to construct recursively over $\alpha \in \mathfrak{c}$ increasing sequences $\langle \tau_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathfrak{c} \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathfrak{c} \rangle$ of topologies on ω , such that $X = \langle \omega, \tau_\mathfrak{c} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{c}} \tau_\alpha \rangle$ $Y = \langle \omega, \sigma_\mathfrak{c} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{c}} \sigma_\alpha \rangle$ are FU spaces with non- M -separable product, as witnessed by $\langle E_n : n \in \omega \rangle$.
- At stage α we face a pair $\langle S_\alpha, x_\alpha \rangle$, and have to do something if x_α is a limit point of S_α with respect to τ_α . Namely, we should include into $\tau_{\alpha+1}$ a new set which contains x_α but no other point of S_α , or **better** fix a sequence Y_α in S_α convergent to x_α w.r.t. to τ_α , and preserve its convergence “forever”.

Mission impossible: Trying to get a non- M -separable product from MA

- We start with topologies $\tau_0 = \sigma_0$ on ω turning it into a copy of \mathbb{Q} .
- Fix a sequence $\langle E_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of mutually disjoint dense subsets of $\langle \omega^2, \tau_0 \otimes \sigma_0 \rangle$ such that $E := \bigcup_{n \in \omega} E_n$ is a bijection.
- Our goal will be to construct recursively over $\alpha \in \mathfrak{c}$ increasing sequences $\langle \tau_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathfrak{c} \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathfrak{c} \rangle$ of topologies on ω , such that $X = \langle \omega, \tau_\mathfrak{c} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{c}} \tau_\alpha \rangle$ $Y = \langle \omega, \sigma_\mathfrak{c} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{c}} \sigma_\alpha \rangle$ are FU spaces with non- M -separable product, as witnessed by $\langle E_n : n \in \omega \rangle$.
- At stage α we face a pair $\langle S_\alpha, x_\alpha \rangle$, and have to do something if x_α is a limit point of S_α with respect to τ_α . Namely, we should include into $\tau_{\alpha+1}$ a new set which contains x_α but no other point of S_α , or **better** fix a sequence Y_α in S_α convergent to x_α w.r.t. to τ_α , and preserve its convergence “forever”. Similarly on the “ σ -side”.

Mission impossible: Trying to get a non- M -separable product from MA

- We start with topologies $\tau_0 = \sigma_0$ on ω turning it into a copy of \mathbb{Q} .
- Fix a sequence $\langle E_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of mutually disjoint dense subsets of $\langle \omega^2, \tau_0 \otimes \sigma_0 \rangle$ such that $E := \bigcup_{n \in \omega} E_n$ is a bijection.
- Our goal will be to construct recursively over $\alpha \in \mathfrak{c}$ increasing sequences $\langle \tau_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathfrak{c} \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathfrak{c} \rangle$ of topologies on ω , such that $X = \langle \omega, \tau_{\mathfrak{c}} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{c}} \tau_\alpha \rangle$ $Y = \langle \omega, \sigma_{\mathfrak{c}} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{c}} \sigma_\alpha \rangle$ are FU spaces with non- M -separable product, as witnessed by $\langle E_n : n \in \omega \rangle$.
- At stage α we face a pair $\langle S_\alpha, x_\alpha \rangle$, and have to do something if x_α is a limit point of S_α with respect to τ_α . Namely, we should include into $\tau_{\alpha+1}$ a new set which contains x_α but no other point of S_α , or **better** fix a sequence Y_α in S_α convergent to x_α w.r.t. to τ_α , and preserve its convergence “forever”. Similarly on the “ σ -side”.
- No problem to select Y_α since τ_α has weight $< \mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{p}$ by recursive assumption, and hence $\langle \omega, \sigma_\alpha \rangle$ is FU.

Mission impossible, continued

- Thus at stage α we shall have a family \mathcal{Y}_α of size $< \mathfrak{c}$ of convergent sequences w.r.t. τ_α which must be preserved forever.

Mission impossible, continued

- Thus at stage α we shall have a family \mathcal{Y}_α of size $< \mathfrak{c}$ of convergent sequences w.r.t. τ_α which must be preserved forever. The same with the second multiplicand: We have a family \mathcal{Z}_α of size $< \mathfrak{c}$ of convergent sequences w.r.t. σ_α which must be preserved forever.

Mission impossible, continued

- Thus at stage α we shall have a family \mathcal{Y}_α of size $< \mathfrak{c}$ of convergent sequences w.r.t. τ_α which must be preserved forever. The same with the second multiplicand: We have a family \mathcal{Z}_α of size $< \mathfrak{c}$ of convergent sequences w.r.t. σ_α which must be preserved forever.
- But at this stage we also have to consider a sequence $\langle F_n^\alpha : n \in \omega \rangle$ with $F_n^\alpha \in [E_n]^{<\omega}$ and add U and V to τ_α and σ_α , respectively, so that $U \times V$ is disjoint from $F^\alpha := \bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n^\alpha$.

Mission impossible, continued

- Thus at stage α we shall have a family \mathcal{Y}_α of size $< \mathfrak{c}$ of convergent sequences w.r.t. τ_α which must be preserved forever. The same with the second multiplicand: We have a family \mathcal{Z}_α of size $< \mathfrak{c}$ of convergent sequences w.r.t. σ_α which must be preserved forever.
- But at this stage we also have to consider a sequence $\langle F_n^\alpha : n \in \omega \rangle$ with $F_n^\alpha \in [E_n]^{<\omega}$ and add U and V to τ_α and σ_α , respectively, so that $U \times V$ is disjoint from $F^\alpha := \bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n^\alpha$. Note that we must have $Y \subset^* U$ for all $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_\alpha$, whenever the limit point of Y lies in U . Similarly for \mathcal{Z}_α and V .

Mission impossible, continued

- Thus at stage α we shall have a family \mathcal{Y}_α of size $< \mathfrak{c}$ of convergent sequences w.r.t. τ_α which must be preserved forever. The same with the second multiplicand: We have a family \mathcal{Z}_α of size $< \mathfrak{c}$ of convergent sequences w.r.t. σ_α which must be preserved forever.
- But at this stage we also have to consider a sequence $\langle F_n^\alpha : n \in \omega \rangle$ with $F_n^\alpha \in [E_n]^{<\omega}$ and add U and V to τ_α and σ_α , respectively, so that $U \times V$ is disjoint from $F^\alpha := \bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n^\alpha$. Note that we must have $Y \subset^* U$ for all $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_\alpha$, whenever the limit point of Y lies in U . Similarly for \mathcal{Z}_α and V .
- For simplicity we assume that all $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_\alpha$ converge to a point in U , and the same on the other side.

Mission impossible, continued

- Thus at stage α we shall have a family \mathcal{Y}_α of size $< \mathfrak{c}$ of convergent sequences w.r.t. τ_α which must be preserved forever. The same with the second multiplicand: We have a family \mathcal{Z}_α of size $< \mathfrak{c}$ of convergent sequences w.r.t. σ_α which must be preserved forever.
- But at this stage we also have to consider a sequence $\langle F_n^\alpha : n \in \omega \rangle$ with $F_n^\alpha \in [E_n]^{<\omega}$ and add U and V to τ_α and σ_α , respectively, so that $U \times V$ is disjoint from $F^\alpha := \bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n^\alpha$. Note that we must have $Y \subset^* U$ for all $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_\alpha$, whenever the limit point of Y lies in U . Similarly for \mathcal{Z}_α and V .
- For simplicity we assume that all $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_\alpha$ converge to a point in U , and the same on the other side. Thus we must have $F^\alpha[U] \cap V = \emptyset$,

Mission impossible, continued

- Thus at stage α we shall have a family \mathcal{Y}_α of size $< \mathfrak{c}$ of convergent sequences w.r.t. τ_α which must be preserved forever. The same with the second multiplicand: We have a family \mathcal{Z}_α of size $< \mathfrak{c}$ of convergent sequences w.r.t. σ_α which must be preserved forever.
- But at this stage we also have to consider a sequence $\langle F_n^\alpha : n \in \omega \rangle$ with $F_n^\alpha \in [E_n]^{<\omega}$ and add U and V to τ_α and σ_α , respectively, so that $U \times V$ is disjoint from $F^\alpha := \bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n^\alpha$. Note that we must have $Y \subset^* U$ for all $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_\alpha$, whenever the limit point of Y lies in U . Similarly for \mathcal{Z}_α and V .
- For simplicity we assume that all $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_\alpha$ converge to a point in U , and the same on the other side. Thus we must have
$$F^\alpha[U] \cap V = \emptyset,$$
$$F^\alpha[Y] \subset^* F^\alpha[U] \text{ for all } Y \in \mathcal{Y}_\alpha,$$

Mission impossible, continued

- Thus at stage α we shall have a family \mathcal{Y}_α of size $< \mathfrak{c}$ of convergent sequences w.r.t. τ_α which must be preserved forever. The same with the second multiplicand: We have a family \mathcal{Z}_α of size $< \mathfrak{c}$ of convergent sequences w.r.t. σ_α which must be preserved forever.
- But at this stage we also have to consider a sequence $\langle F_n^\alpha : n \in \omega \rangle$ with $F_n^\alpha \in [E_n]^{<\omega}$ and add U and V to τ_α and σ_α , respectively, so that $U \times V$ is disjoint from $F^\alpha := \bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n^\alpha$. Note that we must have $Y \subset^* U$ for all $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_\alpha$, whenever the limit point of Y lies in U . Similarly for \mathcal{Z}_α and V .
- For simplicity we assume that all $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_\alpha$ converge to a point in U , and the same on the other side. Thus we must have
$$F^\alpha[U] \cap V = \emptyset,$$
$$F^\alpha[Y] \subset^* F^\alpha[U] \text{ for all } Y \in \mathcal{Y}_\alpha, \text{ and}$$
$$Z \subset^* V \text{ for all } Z \in \mathcal{Z}_\alpha.$$

Mission impossible, continued

- Thus at stage α we shall have a family \mathcal{Y}_α of size $< \mathfrak{c}$ of convergent sequences w.r.t. τ_α which must be preserved forever. The same with the second multiplicand: We have a family \mathcal{Z}_α of size $< \mathfrak{c}$ of convergent sequences w.r.t. σ_α which must be preserved forever.
- But at this stage we also have to consider a sequence $\langle F_n^\alpha : n \in \omega \rangle$ with $F_n^\alpha \in [E_n]^{<\omega}$ and add U and V to τ_α and σ_α , respectively, so that $U \times V$ is disjoint from $F^\alpha := \bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n^\alpha$. Note that we must have $Y \subset^* U$ for all $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_\alpha$, whenever the limit point of Y lies in U . Similarly for \mathcal{Z}_α and V .
- For simplicity we assume that all $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_\alpha$ converge to a point in U , and the same on the other side. Thus we must have $F^\alpha[U] \cap V = \emptyset$,
 $F^\alpha[Y] \subset^* F^\alpha[U]$ for all $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_\alpha$, and
 $Z \subset^* V$ for all $Z \in \mathcal{Z}_\alpha$.

This means that it must be possible to **separate** the families $\{F^\alpha[Y] : Y \in \mathcal{Y}_\alpha\}$ and \mathcal{Z}_α .

Mission impossible, continued

- Thus at stage α we shall have a family \mathcal{Y}_α of size $< \mathfrak{c}$ of convergent sequences w.r.t. τ_α which must be preserved forever. The same with the second multiplicand: We have a family \mathcal{Z}_α of size $< \mathfrak{c}$ of convergent sequences w.r.t. σ_α which must be preserved forever.
- But at this stage we also have to consider a sequence $\langle F_n^\alpha : n \in \omega \rangle$ with $F_n^\alpha \in [E_n]^{<\omega}$ and add U and V to τ_α and σ_α , respectively, so that $U \times V$ is disjoint from $F^\alpha := \bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n^\alpha$. Note that we must have $Y \subset^* U$ for all $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_\alpha$, whenever the limit point of Y lies in U . Similarly for \mathcal{Z}_α and V .
- For simplicity we assume that all $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_\alpha$ converge to a point in U , and the same on the other side. Thus we must have $F^\alpha[U] \cap V = \emptyset$,
 $F^\alpha[Y] \subset^* F^\alpha[U]$ for all $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_\alpha$, and
 $Z \subset^* V$ for all $Z \in \mathcal{Z}_\alpha$.

This means that it must be possible to **separate** the families $\{F^\alpha[Y] : Y \in \mathcal{Y}_\alpha\}$ and \mathcal{Z}_α .

ω_1 -separated mad families as helpers

A mad family \mathcal{A} is *ω_1 -separated*, if for any disjoint $\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1 \in [\mathcal{A}]^{\omega_1}$ there exists $R \subset \omega$ with $A_0 \subset^* R$ and $A_1 \subset^* \omega \setminus R$ for all $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$, respectively.

ω_1 -separated mad families as helpers

A mad family \mathcal{A} is *ω_1 -separated*, if for any disjoint $\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1 \in [\mathcal{A}]^{\omega_1}$ there exists $R \subset \omega$ with $A_0 \subset^* R$ and $A_1 \subset^* \omega \setminus R$ for all $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$, respectively.

- Given such an \mathcal{A} , we can try to make sure in the construction that each $Z \in \mathcal{Z}_\alpha$ as well as each $E(Y)$, $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_\alpha$, is contained in some element of \mathcal{A} ,

ω_1 -separated mad families as helpers

A mad family \mathcal{A} is *ω_1 -separated*, if for any disjoint $\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1 \in [\mathcal{A}]^{\omega_1}$ there exists $R \subset \omega$ with $A_0 \subset^* R$ and $A_1 \subset^* \omega \setminus R$ for all $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$, respectively.

- Given such an \mathcal{A} , we can try to make sure in the construction that each $Z \in \mathcal{Z}_\alpha$ as well as each $E(Y)$, $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_\alpha$, is contained in some element of \mathcal{A} , and all in different ones.

ω_1 -separated mad families as helpers

A mad family \mathcal{A} is *ω_1 -separated*, if for any disjoint $\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1 \in [\mathcal{A}]^{\omega_1}$ there exists $R \subset \omega$ with $A_0 \subset^* R$ and $A_1 \subset^* \omega \setminus R$ for all $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$, respectively.

- Given such an \mathcal{A} , we can try to make sure in the construction that each $Z \in \mathcal{Z}_\alpha$ as well as each $E(Y)$, $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_\alpha$, is contained in some element of \mathcal{A} , and all in different ones. And this works

ω_1 -separated mad families as helpers

A mad family \mathcal{A} is *ω_1 -separated*, if for any disjoint $\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1 \in [\mathcal{A}]^{\omega_1}$ there exists $R \subset \omega$ with $A_0 \subset^* R$ and $A_1 \subset^* \omega \setminus R$ for all $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$, respectively.

- Given such an \mathcal{A} , we can try to make sure in the construction that each $Z \in \mathcal{Z}_\alpha$ as well as each $E(Y)$, $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_\alpha$, is contained in some element of \mathcal{A} , and all in different ones. And this works.

(*): There exists an ω_1 -separated mad family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\mathbb{Q}]^\omega$ consisting of convergent sequences and closed discrete sets.

ω_1 -separated mad families as helpers

A mad family \mathcal{A} is ω_1 -separated, if for any disjoint $\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1 \in [\mathcal{A}]^{\omega_1}$ there exists $R \subset \omega$ with $A_0 \subset^* R$ and $A_1 \subset^* \omega \setminus R$ for all $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$, respectively.

- Given such an \mathcal{A} , we can try to make sure in the construction that each $Z \in \mathcal{Z}_\alpha$ as well as each $E(Y)$, $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_\alpha$, is contained in some element of \mathcal{A} , and all in different ones. And this works.

(*): There exists an ω_1 -separated mad family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\mathbb{Q}]^\omega$ consisting of convergent sequences and closed discrete sets.

Theorem (BMZ 202?)

(MA+(*)) There exist countable FU spaces X, Y whose product $X \times Y$ is not M -separable. □

ω_1 -separated mad families as helpers

A mad family \mathcal{A} is ω_1 -separated, if for any disjoint $\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1 \in [\mathcal{A}]^{\omega_1}$ there exists $R \subset \omega$ with $A_0 \subset^* R$ and $A_1 \subset^* \omega \setminus R$ for all $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$, respectively.

• Given such an \mathcal{A} , we can try to make sure in the construction that each $Z \in \mathcal{Z}_\alpha$ as well as each $E(Y)$, $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_\alpha$, is contained in some element of \mathcal{A} , and all in different ones. And this works.

(*): There exists an ω_1 -separated mad family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\mathbb{Q}]^\omega$ consisting of convergent sequences and closed discrete sets.

Theorem (BMZ 202?)

(MA+(*)) *There exist countable FU spaces X, Y whose product $X \times Y$ is not M -separable.* □

Corollary

PFA+()* is *inconsistent*. □

ω_1 -separated mad families as helpers

A mad family \mathcal{A} is *ω_1 -separated*, if for any disjoint $\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1 \in [\mathcal{A}]^{\omega_1}$ there exists $R \subset \omega$ with $A_0 \subset^* R$ and $A_1 \subset^* \omega \setminus R$ for all $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$, respectively.

- Given such an \mathcal{A} , we can try to make sure in the construction that each $Z \in \mathcal{Z}_\alpha$ as well as each $E(Y)$, $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_\alpha$, is contained in some element of \mathcal{A} , and all in different ones. And this works.

(*): There exists an ω_1 -separated mad family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\mathbb{Q}]^\omega$ consisting of convergent sequences and closed discrete sets.

Theorem (BMZ 202?)

(MA+(*)) *There exist countable FU spaces X, Y whose product $X \times Y$ is not M -separable.* □

Corollary

PFA+(*) is *inconsistent*. □

But a better result is known:

ω_1 -separated mad families as helpers

A mad family \mathcal{A} is ω_1 -separated, if for any disjoint $\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1 \in [\mathcal{A}]^{\omega_1}$ there exists $R \subset \omega$ with $A_0 \subset^* R$ and $A_1 \subset^* \omega \setminus R$ for all $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$, respectively.

- Given such an \mathcal{A} , we can try to make sure in the construction that each $Z \in \mathcal{Z}_\alpha$ as well as each $E(Y)$, $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_\alpha$, is contained in some element of \mathcal{A} , and all in different ones. And this works.

(*): There exists an ω_1 -separated mad family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\mathbb{Q}]^\omega$ consisting of convergent sequences and closed discrete sets.

Theorem (BMZ 202?)

(MA+(*)) There exist countable FU spaces X, Y whose product $X \times Y$ is not M -separable. □

Corollary

PFA+(*) is inconsistent. □

But a better result is known:

Theorem (Dow 2011)

PFA implies that there is no ω_1 -separated mad family. □

ω_1 -separated mad families as helpers

A mad family \mathcal{A} is ω_1 -separated, if for any disjoint $\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1 \in [\mathcal{A}]^{\omega_1}$ there exists $R \subset \omega$ with $A_0 \subset^* R$ and $A_1 \subset^* \omega \setminus R$ for all $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$, respectively.

- Given such an \mathcal{A} , we can try to make sure in the construction that each $Z \in \mathcal{Z}_\alpha$ as well as each $E(Y)$, $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_\alpha$, is contained in some element of \mathcal{A} , and all in different ones. And this works.

(*): There exists an ω_1 -separated mad family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\mathbb{Q}]^\omega$ consisting of convergent sequences and closed discrete sets.

Theorem (BMZ 202?)

(MA+(*)) There exist countable FU spaces X, Y whose product $X \times Y$ is not M -separable. □

Corollary

PFA+(*) is inconsistent. □

But a better result is known:

Theorem (Dow 2011)

PFA implies that there is no ω_1 -separated mad family. □

The consistency of our assumption

Theorem (Essentially Dow-Shelah 2012)

$MA+(*)$ is consistent.



Theorem (Essentially Dow-Shelah 2012)

$MA+(*)$ is consistent. □

We follow the idea of the proof by Dow-Shelah that MA is consistent with the existence an ω_1 -separated mad family. However, their proof produces such a family which is *tight*,

Theorem (Essentially Dow-Shelah 2012)

$MA+(*)$ is consistent. □

We follow the idea of the proof by Dow-Shelah that MA is consistent with the existence an ω_1 -separated mad family. However, their proof produces such a family which is *tight*,

Theorem (Essentially Dow-Shelah 2012)

$MA+(*)$ is consistent. □

We follow the idea of the proof by Dow-Shelah that MA is consistent with the existence an ω_1 -separated mad family. However, their proof produces such a family which is *tight*, whereas it is easy to see that a mad subfamily of $[\mathbb{Q}]^\omega$ consisting of convergent sequences and closed discrete subsets cannot be tight.

The consistency of our assumption

Theorem (Essentially Dow-Shelah 2012)

$MA+(\ast)$ is consistent. □

We follow the idea of the proof by Dow-Shelah that MA is consistent with the existence an ω_1 -separated mad family. However, their proof produces such a family which is *tight*, whereas it is easy to see that a mad subfamily of $[\mathbb{Q}]^\omega$ consisting of convergent sequences and closed discrete subsets cannot be tight.

Corollary (BMZ 202?)

MA is consistent with the existence of two FU spaces with non- M -separable product.

Theorem (BMZ 202?)

In the Laver model, the product of two H -separable spaces is mH -separable.



Theorem (BMZ 202?)

In the Laver model, the product of two H -separable spaces is mH -separable. □

It improved the following earlier result.

Theorem (Repovš-Z. 2016)

In the Laver model, the product of any two H -separable countable spaces is M -separable. □

More questions

Question

Is it consistent that the product of two $(m)H$ -separable countable spaces is $(m)H$ -separable?

Question

Is it consistent that the product of two $(m)H$ -separable countable spaces is $(m)H$ -separable? Is it consistent that the product of two countable FU spaces is $(m)H$ -separable?

Question

Is it consistent that the product of two $(m)H$ -separable countable spaces is $(m)H$ -separable? Is it consistent that the product of two countable FU spaces is $(m)H$ -separable? What happens in the Laver model? \square

Question

Is it consistent that the product of two $(m)H$ -separable countable spaces is $(m)H$ -separable? Is it consistent that the product of two countable FU spaces is $(m)H$ -separable? What happens in the Laver model? \square

Let us note that by a result of Dow (2014) there are countable regular FU spaces with π -weight at least \mathfrak{b} , i.e., non-trivial ones in the context of the question above.

Question

Is it consistent that the product of two $(m)H$ -separable countable spaces is $(m)H$ -separable? Is it consistent that the product of two countable FU spaces is $(m)H$ -separable? What happens in the Laver model? \square

Let us note that by a result of Dow (2014) there are countable regular FU spaces with π -weight at least \mathfrak{b} , i.e., non-trivial ones in the context of the question above.

Question

Does PFA imply that the product of two FU spaces is $(m)H$ -separable?

Question

Is it consistent that the product of two $(m)H$ -separable countable spaces is $(m)H$ -separable? Is it consistent that the product of two countable FU spaces is $(m)H$ -separable? What happens in the Laver model? \square

Let us note that by a result of Dow (2014) there are countable regular FU spaces with π -weight at least \mathfrak{b} , i.e., non-trivial ones in the context of the question above.

Question

Does PFA imply that the product of two FU spaces is $(m)H$ -separable? R -separable?

Question

Is it consistent that the product of two $(m)H$ -separable countable spaces is $(m)H$ -separable? Is it consistent that the product of two countable FU spaces is $(m)H$ -separable? What happens in the Laver model? \square

Let us note that by a result of Dow (2014) there are countable regular FU spaces with π -weight at least \mathfrak{b} , i.e., non-trivial ones in the context of the question above.

Question

Does PFA imply that the product of two FU spaces is $(m)H$ -separable? R -separable? Does PFA imply that the product of two γ -spaces is Hurewicz?

Question

Is it consistent that the product of two $(m)H$ -separable countable spaces is $(m)H$ -separable? Is it consistent that the product of two countable FU spaces is $(m)H$ -separable? What happens in the Laver model? \square

Let us note that by a result of Dow (2014) there are countable regular FU spaces with π -weight at least \mathfrak{b} , i.e., non-trivial ones in the context of the question above.

Question

Does PFA imply that the product of two FU spaces is $(m)H$ -separable? R -separable? Does PFA imply that the product of two γ -spaces is Hurewicz? Rothberger? \square

The next question was essentially posed in the paper of Gruenhage-M. Sakai (2011).

Question

Is it consistent that the product of two $(m)H$ -separable countable spaces is $(m)H$ -separable? Is it consistent that the product of two countable FU spaces is $(m)H$ -separable? What happens in the Laver model? \square

Let us note that by a result of Dow (2014) there are countable regular FU spaces with π -weight at least \mathfrak{b} , i.e., non-trivial ones in the context of the question above.

Question

Does PFA imply that the product of two FU spaces is $(m)H$ -separable? R -separable? Does PFA imply that the product of two γ -spaces is Hurewicz? Rothberger? \square

The next question was essentially posed in the paper of Gruenhage-M. Sakai (2011).

Question

*Is it consistent that each **regular** countable mH -separable space is H -separable?*

Question

Is it consistent that the product of two $(m)H$ -separable countable spaces is $(m)H$ -separable? Is it consistent that the product of two countable FU spaces is $(m)H$ -separable? What happens in the Laver model? \square

Let us note that by a result of Dow (2014) there are countable regular FU spaces with π -weight at least \mathfrak{b} , i.e., non-trivial ones in the context of the question above.

Question

Does PFA imply that the product of two FU spaces is $(m)H$ -separable? R -separable? Does PFA imply that the product of two γ -spaces is Hurewicz? Rothberger? \square

The next question was essentially posed in the paper of Gruenhage-M. Sakai (2011).

Question

*Is it consistent that each **regular** countable mH -separable space is H -separable? If not, is it consistent that each **regular** countable FU space is H -separable?*

Question

Is it consistent that the product of two $(m)H$ -separable countable spaces is $(m)H$ -separable? Is it consistent that the product of two countable FU spaces is $(m)H$ -separable? What happens in the Laver model? \square

Let us note that by a result of Dow (2014) there are countable regular FU spaces with π -weight at least \mathfrak{b} , i.e., non-trivial ones in the context of the question above.

Question

Does PFA imply that the product of two FU spaces is $(m)H$ -separable? R -separable? Does PFA imply that the product of two γ -spaces is Hurewicz? Rothberger? \square

The next question was essentially posed in the paper of Gruenhage-M. Sakai (2011).

Question

*Is it consistent that each **regular** countable mH -separable space is H -separable? If not, is it consistent that each **regular** countable FU space is H -separable? What happens in the Laver model?* \square

The role of the regularity

Theorem (BMZ 202?)

- *There exists a countable FU (and hence also mH -separable) space which is not H -separable;*

Theorem (BMZ 202?)

- *There exists a countable FU (and hence also mH -separable) space which is not H -separable;*
- *If $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{c}$, then there exists a countable **regular** α_4 FU space which is not H -separable.* □

Theorem (BMZ 202?)

- There exists a countable FU (and hence also mH -separable) space which is not H -separable;
- If $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{c}$, then there exists a countable *regular* α_4 FU space which is not H -separable. \square

Recall that X is

- α_4 , if for every $x \in X$ and a countable collection \mathcal{S} of injective sequences convergent to x , there exists a sequence T convergent to x such that $\{S \in \mathcal{S} : T \cap S \neq \emptyset\}$ is infinite;

The role of the regularity

Theorem (BMZ 202?)

- *There exists a countable FU (and hence also mH -separable) space which is not H -separable;*
- *If $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{c}$, then there exists a countable **regular** α_4 FU space which is not H -separable.* □

Recall that X is

- α_4 , if for every $x \in X$ and a countable collection \mathcal{S} of injective sequences convergent to x , there exists a sequence T convergent to x such that $\{S \in \mathcal{S} : T \cap S \neq \emptyset\}$ is infinite;
- α_3 , if $/-/$ such that $\{S \in \mathcal{S} : |T \cap S| = \infty\}$ is infinite;

Theorem (BMZ 202?)

- *There exists a countable FU (and hence also mH -separable) space which is not H -separable;*
- *If $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{c}$, then there exists a countable **regular** α_4 FU space which is not H -separable.* □

Recall that X is

- α_4 , if for every $x \in X$ and a countable collection \mathcal{S} of injective sequences convergent to x , there exists a sequence T convergent to x such that $\{S \in \mathcal{S} : T \cap S \neq \emptyset\}$ is infinite;
- α_3 , if $/-/$ such that $\{S \in \mathcal{S} : |T \cap S| = \infty\}$ is infinite;
- α_2 , if $/-/$ such that $|T \cap S| = \infty$ for all $S \in \mathcal{S}$.

Theorem (BMZ 202?)

- There exists a countable FU (and hence also mH -separable) space which is not H -separable;
- If $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{c}$, then there exists a countable **regular** α_4 FU space which is not H -separable. \square

Recall that X is

- α_4 , if for every $x \in X$ and a countable collection \mathcal{S} of injective sequences convergent to x , there exists a sequence T convergent to x such that $\{S \in \mathcal{S} : T \cap S \neq \emptyset\}$ is infinite;
- α_3 , if $/-/$ such that $\{S \in \mathcal{S} : |T \cap S| = \infty\}$ is infinite;
- α_2 , if $/-/$ such that $|T \cap S| = \infty$ for all $S \in \mathcal{S}$.

Exercise. Countable FU α_2 spaces are H -separable. \square

The role of the regularity

Theorem (BMZ 202?)

- There exists a countable FU (and hence also mH -separable) space which is not H -separable;
- If $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{c}$, then there exists a countable **regular** α_4 FU space which is not H -separable. \square

Recall that X is

- α_4 , if for every $x \in X$ and a countable collection \mathcal{S} of injective sequences convergent to x , there exists a sequence T convergent to x such that $\{S \in \mathcal{S} : T \cap S \neq \emptyset\}$ is infinite;
- α_3 , if $/-/$ such that $\{S \in \mathcal{S} : |T \cap S| = \infty\}$ is infinite;
- α_2 , if $/-/$ such that $|T \cap S| = \infty$ for all $S \in \mathcal{S}$.

Exercise. Countable FU α_2 spaces are H -separable. \square

Question

Is α_3 sufficient?

The role of the regularity

Theorem (BMZ 202?)

- There exists a countable FU (and hence also mH -separable) space which is not H -separable;
- If $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{c}$, then there exists a countable **regular** α_4 FU space which is not H -separable. \square

Recall that X is

- α_4 , if for every $x \in X$ and a countable collection \mathcal{S} of injective sequences convergent to x , there exists a sequence T convergent to x such that $\{S \in \mathcal{S} : T \cap S \neq \emptyset\}$ is infinite;
- α_3 , if $/-/$ such that $\{S \in \mathcal{S} : |T \cap S| = \infty\}$ is infinite;
- α_2 , if $/-/$ such that $|T \cap S| = \infty$ for all $S \in \mathcal{S}$.

Exercise. Countable FU α_2 spaces are H -separable. \square

Question

Is α_3 sufficient? What about regular spaces?

The role of the regularity

Theorem (BMZ 202?)

- There exists a countable FU (and hence also mH -separable) space which is not H -separable;
- If $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{c}$, then there exists a countable **regular** α_4 FU space which is not H -separable. \square

Recall that X is

- α_4 , if for every $x \in X$ and a countable collection \mathcal{S} of injective sequences convergent to x , there exists a sequence T convergent to x such that $\{S \in \mathcal{S} : T \cap S \neq \emptyset\}$ is infinite;
- α_3 , if $/-/$ such that $\{S \in \mathcal{S} : |T \cap S| = \infty\}$ is infinite;
- α_2 , if $/-/$ such that $|T \cap S| = \infty$ for all $S \in \mathcal{S}$.

Exercise. Countable FU α_2 spaces are H -separable. \square

Question

Is α_3 sufficient? What about regular spaces?

The role of the regularity

Theorem (BMZ 202?)

- There exists a countable FU (and hence also mH -separable) space which is not H -separable;
- If $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{c}$, then there exists a countable **regular** α_4 FU space which is not H -separable. \square

Recall that X is

- α_4 , if for every $x \in X$ and a countable collection \mathcal{S} of injective sequences convergent to x , there exists a sequence T convergent to x such that $\{S \in \mathcal{S} : T \cap S \neq \emptyset\}$ is infinite;
- α_3 , if $/-/$ such that $\{S \in \mathcal{S} : |T \cap S| = \infty\}$ is infinite;
- α_2 , if $/-/$ such that $|T \cap S| = \infty$ for all $S \in \mathcal{S}$.

Exercise. Countable FU α_2 spaces are H -separable. \square

Question

Is α_3 sufficient? What about regular spaces?



Glory to Defenders of Ukraine!



Glory to Defenders of Ukraine!



Glory to Defenders of Ukraine!
Glory to >40 Nations helping Ukraine to survive!