

$\square, \nabla + D$

Paul Gartside joint work with Hector Barriga-Acosta

TOPOSYM — July, 2022

What are D -spaces?
What are they good for?
Key open problems?

D -Space Definition

Let $X = (X, \tau)$ be a (Tychonoff) space.

Definition

A **neighbornet** is a map $U : X \rightarrow \tau$ such that
 x is in $U(x)$ for every x .

Definition

A space X is D if for every neighbornet U there is a closed discrete subset E such that $U(E) := \bigcup \{U(x) : x \in E\} = X$.

Compact $\Rightarrow D$ metrizable $\Rightarrow D$ ω_1 is not D

What are D -spaces Good For?

Theorem (Grothendieck)

Let X be compact.

Then every countably compact subset of $C_p(X)$ is compact.

$C_p(X)$ = all cts $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, pointwise topology

countably compact \iff closed discrete subsets are finite

Theorem (Buzyakova)

If X compact then $C_p(X)$ is hereditarily D .

Lemma

Let Y be a D -space. Then:

Y countably compact \Rightarrow compact & $L(Y) = e(Y)$.

countably compact \iff closed discrete subsets are finite

$e(Y) \leq \kappa \iff$ every closed discrete subset has size $\leq \kappa$

$L(Y) \leq \kappa \iff$ every open cover has a subcover of size $\leq \kappa$

Lemma

Let Y be a D -space. Then:

Y countably compact \Rightarrow compact & $L(Y) = e(Y)$.

Theorem (Gruenhage)

If X Lindelöf Σ then $C_p(X)$ is hereditarily D .

What Else do we Know about D ?

There are various results of the type:

if X has THIS structure then X is D .

And also:

Theorem

*Let X be a D -space. Then X is **irreducible**:
every open cover has a **minimal** open refinement.*

Daniel Soukup $\exists X$ not D , but all closed subsets irreducible.
Built using Shelah's club guessing.

Basic D -space Open Problem

But *nothing* in the converse direction:

Question

Is X a D -space if:

Lindelöf, or *hereditarily Lindelöf*,
or *paracompact*, or *meta-Lindelöf*?

Are paracompact spaces D ?

What are Box and Nabla Products?

When are they normal or paracompact?

THE Box Product Problem

Definitions

Let $(X_n)_n$ be spaces.

The **box product**, $\square_n X_n$, is $\prod_n X_n$ with topology generated by open boxes: $\prod_n U_n$ ($\forall n U_n$ open).

Write $\square X^\omega$ for $\square_n X_n$ where $\forall n X_n = X$.

Let $=^*$ be **mod finite** equivalence on $\prod_n X_n$.

The **nabla product**, $\nabla_n X_n$, is $(\square_n X_n) / =^*$.

Write ∇X^ω for $\nabla_n X_n$ where $\forall n X_n = X$.

Paracompact or Normal?

Question

When are box or nabla products paracompact? normal?

Question (THE Box Product Problem (1940s))

Is $\square[0, 1]^\omega$ paracompact?

Answers and Observations

$$\square = \square_n X_n \text{ and } \nabla = \nabla_n X_n.$$

- ★ Box and nabla products are typically *not* paracompact if at least one factor is not compact
- ★ (ZFC) If all factors are compact but 'large' then \square and ∇ need not be paracompact
- ★ When all factors are compact the quotient map \square to ∇ is closed with σ -compact fibres
- ★ Hence \square is paracompact iff ∇ is paracompact
- ★ Consistently box and nabla products of compact metrizable spaces are paracompact
- ★ (CH) Box and nabla products of compact scattered spaces are paracompact

Answers and Observations

$$\square = \square_n X_n \text{ and } \nabla = \nabla_n X_n.$$

★ Box and nabla products are typically *not* paracompact if at least one factor is not compact

★ (ZFC) If all factors are compact but 'large' then \square and ∇ need not be paracompact

★ When all factors are compact the quotient map \square to ∇ is closed with σ -compact fibres

Hence \square is paracompact iff ∇ is paracompact

★ Consistently box and nabla products of compact metrizable spaces are paracompact

★ (CH) Box and nabla products of compact scattered spaces are paracompact

Answers and Observations

$$\square = \square_n X_n \text{ and } \nabla = \nabla_n X_n.$$

- ★ Box and nabla products are typically *not* paracompact if at least one factor is not compact
- ★ (ZFC) If all factors are compact but 'large' then \square and ∇ need not be paracompact
- ★ When all factors are compact the quotient map \square to ∇ is closed with σ -compact fibres
Hence \square is paracompact iff ∇ is paracompact
- ★ Consistently box and nabla products of compact metrizable spaces are paracompact
- ★ (CH) Box and nabla products of compact scattered spaces are paracompact

Answers and Observations

$$\square = \square_n X_n \text{ and } \nabla = \nabla_n X_n.$$

★ Box and nabla products are typically *not* paracompact if at least one factor is not compact

★ (ZFC) If all factors are compact but 'large' then \square and ∇ need not be paracompact

★ When all factors are compact the quotient map \square to ∇ is closed with σ -compact fibres

Hence \square is paracompact iff ∇ is paracompact

★ Consistently box and nabla products of compact metrizable spaces are paracompact

★ (CH) Box and nabla products of compact scattered spaces are paracompact

Answers and Observations

$$\square = \square_n X_n \text{ and } \nabla = \nabla_n X_n.$$

- ★ Box and nabla products are typically *not* paracompact if at least one factor is not compact
- ★ (ZFC) If all factors are compact but 'large' then \square and ∇ need not be paracompact
- When all factors are compact the quotient map \square to ∇ is closed with σ -compact fibres
- ★ Hence \square is paracompact iff ∇ is paracompact
- ★ Consistently box and nabla products of compact metrizable spaces are paracompact
- ★ (CH) Box and nabla products of compact scattered spaces are paracompact

We lack:

Consistent examples – to match the consistent theorems

ZFC theorems – to balance the ZFC examples

When are box or nabla products D -spaces?

Special interest:

theorems in ZFC, and
when we know \square or ∇ is paracompact

Scattered spaces, \square of compact not D , 'small' or 'nice' spaces

Summary - Scattered Spaces

Theorem

Let X be hereditarily paracompact and scattered.

Then $\square X^\omega$ and ∇X^ω are hereditarily D .

Theorem

Let X be scattered of finite height.

Then $\square X^\omega$ and ∇X^ω are hereditarily D .

Theorem

*Let $(X_n)_n$ be scattered spaces with
bounded finite scattered height.*

Then $\square_n X_n$ and $\nabla_n X_n$ are hereditarily D .

Example

There is a sequence $(X_n)_n$ of spaces where X_n is scattered of height n , but $\nabla_n X_n$ is **not** D .

Topological Partial Orders

A partial order, \preceq , on space X is **topological**
if **down-sets**, $\downarrow x := \{y : y \preceq x\}$, are open.

Proposition

Let X have a topological partial order \preceq .

- (1) If every up-set, $\uparrow x$, is D then X is D .
- (2) If every up-set is hereditarily D then X is hereditarily D .

Example

Let X be scattered. Define $x \preceq_{sc} y$ iff $x = y$ or $ht(x) < ht(y)$.
Then \preceq_{sc} is topological.

Hereditarily Paracompact and Scattered

Lemma

For every hereditarily paracompact scattered space X there is a topological partial order \preceq_X such that every up-set, $\uparrow x$, is finite

Theorem

Let X be hereditarily paracompact and scattered.

Then $\square X^\omega$ and ∇X^ω are hereditarily D .

Consider the product partial order, \preceq_X^ω .

Down-set is product of down-sets... hence open.

Up-set is product of up-sets... hence discrete, and so D .

Finite Scattered Height

Theorem

Let X be scattered of finite height.

Then $\square X^\omega$ and ∇X^ω are hereditarily D

Consider the product partial order, \preceq_{SC}^ω .

Proceed by induction on scattered height.

Liang-Xue Peng:

$\square X^\omega$ is D if X is scattered of finite height.

Theorem

Let $(X_n)_n$ be scattered spaces

*with **bounded** finite scattered height.*

Then $\square_n X_n$ and $\nabla_n X_n$ are hereditarily D .

Unbounded Finite Scattered Heights

Example

There is a sequence $(X_n)_n$ of spaces where X_n is scattered of height n , but $\nabla_n X_n$ is **not** D .

Lemma

*If X is the increasing union of X_n 's
then X_δ embeds as a closed set in $\nabla_n X_n$.*

Example

There is a P -space X which is the increasing union of subspaces X_n where each X_n is scattered of height n , but X is not D .

The example is built from a 'base' space B .

$B = \{0, 1\}^{\beth_{\omega_1}}$ with $< \beth_{\omega_1}$ boxes!

Box of Compact Not D

We have two examples of...

Example

There are compact X such that $\square X^\omega$ (and ∇X^ω) is not D .

Specifically, $X = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{C}^+}$ works.

A modified version of our scattered example above
embeds as a closed set in ∇ .

Summary - 'Small' Spaces, Consistently

Theorem (Model Hypothesis)

The nabla product of

first countable spaces of size $\leq \mathfrak{c}$ is hereditarily D.

Hence, the box product of compact, first countable spaces is D.

The *Model Hypothesis*: For some κ , $H(\mathfrak{c})$ is the increasing union of H_α 's, for $\alpha < \kappa$, where each H_α is an elementary submodel of $(H(\mathfrak{c}), \in)$ and each $H_\alpha \cap \omega^\omega$ is not \leq^* -cofinal.

Theorem ($\mathfrak{d} = \omega_1$)

(a) *If X has weight $\leq \omega_1$ then ∇X^ω is hereditarily D.*

(b) *If X is compact and has weight $\leq \omega_1$ then $\square X^\omega$ is D.*

Summary - Metrizable Spaces or 1° , in ZFC

Theorem

Let X be a metrizable space. Then ∇X^{ω} is hereditarily D .

If X has weight no more than \mathfrak{d} then $\square X^{\omega}$ is hereditarily D .

Theorem

Let X be a first countable space with weight no more than \mathfrak{d} and strictly less than \aleph_{ω} . Then ∇X^{ω} is hereditarily D .

Conclusions and Reference

- ★ Box and nabla products of compacta need not be D
- ★ In all cases we (consistently) know a box or nabla product is paracompact we now know it is D (often hereditarily)
- ★ For a wide variety of spaces their box and nabla products are D (often hereditarily) in **ZFC**

Question

Is the box product of compact scattered spaces D ?

Are nabla products of compact scattered spaces hereditarily D ?

At least true under (CH)?

Box and Nabla Products that are D-Spaces

Hector A. Barriga-Acosta, Paul M. Gartside

arXiv:2111.10482 <https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.10482>