

Prague 25.7.16.

Recurrence and Universal Minimal Recurrence

(B. Weiss)

X - compact metric space, $T: X \rightarrow X$ cont.

$x \in X$ is recurrent if $T^{n_i} x \rightarrow x$, $n_i \rightarrow \infty$.

More refined notions

1) uniform recurrence : $\{n : T^n x \in U_x\}$

is syndetic for all neighborhoods U_x of x . (syndetic = bounded gaps).

This is equivalent to the orbit closure of x being a minimal set.

Def: x is proximal to y if for some

$$n_i \rightarrow \infty \quad d(T^{n_i} x, T^{n_i} y) \rightarrow 0.$$

x is a distal point if it is not proximal to any $y \neq x$.

Thm: Given a system (X, T) and $x \in X$ there exists a uniformly recurrent point y that is proximal to x .

(related to Hindman's thm that if $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ contains an IP-set (=finite sums of an infinite sequence $\{p_j\}$, i.e. $\sum_{j \in F} p_j$, F finite subset \mathbb{N}) and $A = A_1 \cup A_2$ then either A_1 or A_2 contains an IP-set).

Def: A subset $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ is called a central set if for some pair (x, y) in (X, T) x is proximal to y , y is uniformly recurrent and $A = \{n : T^n x \in V_y\}$ where V_y is ~~a~~ ^{some} nbd of y .

\mathcal{C} - central sets. \mathcal{C}^* - sets that intersect every $A \in \mathcal{C}$.

\mathcal{E}^* has the finite intersection property,
and hence can speak of \mathcal{E}^* -convergence and recurrence:

A point x is \mathcal{E}^* -recurrent if $\{n: T^n x \in U_x\} \in \mathcal{E}^*$
for any nbd. U_x of x .

Thm: (Furstenberg-W.) The following are equivalent:

- (1) x is \mathcal{E}^* -recurrent
 - (2) x is a distal point in its orbit closure
 - (3) For any system (Z, S) and recurrent point $z \in Z$ the point (x, z) is recurrent in $(X \times Z, T \times S)$.
-

Erdős and Stone (1945) studied recurrence sets (not quite in this generality),

$A \subset \mathbb{N}$ is a set of recurrence if for every

(minimal) system (Z, S) there is a point $z \in Z$
 $\{n: T^n z \in V_z\} \cap A$ is infinite for all nbds V_z of z .

OPEN PROBLEM (I. Katznelson...)

If A is a set of recurrence ~~of~~ for all equicontinuous (rotations of compact groups) systems is A a set of recurrence for all minimal systems. ??

Def: A subset $A \subset \mathbb{Z}$ is a universal minimal recurrence set (UMR) if for every minimal point $z \in \mathbb{Z}$, and every nbd. V_z of z the set $\{n: T^n z \in V_z\} \cap A$ is infinite.

Def: A subset A is distally central (d-central) if there is a pair of proximal points (x, y) in (X, T) such that y is uniformly recurrent distal and for some nbd V_y of y

$$A = \{n: T^n x \in V_y\}.$$

Thm: (Glasner-W.) Every d -central set is UMR.

Proof: Let A be d -central, (x, y, V_y)

defining A , in (X, T) and $Y \subset X$ the orbit

closure of y in X . Given a minimal system

(Z, S) and a point $z \in Z$ first note that

it is known that the pair (y, z) is uniformly

recurrent in $Y \times Z$. Since (x, y) is a proximal

pair there is a sequence n_i such that

$$(T^{n_i}x, T^{n_i}y, S^{n_i}z) \rightarrow (y, y, z') \text{ for some } z' \in Z.$$

Since the orbit closure of (y, z) is minimal there

is a sequence k_j with $(T^{k_j}y, S^{k_j}z') \rightarrow (y, z)$

If V_z is a nbd. of z this implies that for inf. many

pairs n_i, k_j , $(T^{k_j}T^{n_i}x, T^{k_j}T^{n_i}y, S^{k_j}S^{n_i}z) \in V_y \times V_y \times V_z$

and since $A = \{n: T^n x \in V_y\}$ this implies that

$A \cap \{n: S^n z \in V_z\}$ is infinite. \square

The main results^(Thm IV) of Erdős and Stone was that for equicontinuous systems (X, T) there is a sequence n_i such that both T^{n_i} and $T^{n_i^2}$ converge uniformly to the identity. They ask "...what can be said about the sequence of integers n for which $f^n(x)$ is in a given neighborhood of x , f being recurrent at x . Thus, under the hypotheses of Thm IV, this sequence contains infinitely many squares, and in fact infinitely many k^{th} powers."

The squares $\{n^2\}$ are a recurrent sequence. In fact they are a Poincaré sequence, i.e. for any measure preserving system (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) and set of positive measure B , for some n

$$\mu(B \cap T^{-n^2} B) > 0.$$

However the squares are not an UMR. This follows from a much more general result. We say that a subset $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ is a small set if the only minimal point in the orbit closure of $\mathbb{1}_A$ under the shift in $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the point all of whose coordinates are zero. If \mathcal{A} is the ^{norm} closed algebra of bounded functions on \mathbb{Z} containing all minimal functions (i.e. functions of the form $f(T^n x_0)$ where $f \in C(X)$, and (X, T) is minimal) then $A \subset \mathbb{Z}$ is an interpolation set for \mathcal{A} if any bounded function on A can be extended to an element of \mathcal{A} .

Thm (Glasner-W.) A subset $A \subset \mathbb{Z}$ is an interpolation set for \mathcal{O}_2 , if and only if it is a small set.

In the proof of this theorem we actually constructed for any assignment of 0, 1 to the elements of a small set A , ~~there~~ this assignment can be extended to a minimal point in $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. If $A = \{a_0, a_1, \dots\}$ is a small set, we may assume that $a_0 = 0$, then assigning the value 1 to a_0 and 0 to all other a_i , we get a minimal point x in $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ that cannot return to a nbd determined by the cylinder set $\{\omega \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}} : \omega(0) = 1\}$.

Note that the combination of this result with the Erdős-Stone result shows

that the UMR version of Katznelson's problem has a negative answer.

There are UMR sets that are not d -central. For this let me recall a notion introduced by Haddad and Ott.

Def: A point x in (X, T) is weakly product recurrent^(WPR) if for every minimal point z in (Z, S) the pair (x, z) is a recurrent point in $X \times Z$.

They gave examples of (WPR) -points that are not PR (= product recurrent, same definition but for all recurrent points z). Recently Eli Glasner and I showed that there are minimal points that are WPR but not PR. In fact we showed that there are weakly mixing such minimal points.

(X, T) is topologically transitive if it has a dense orbit. it is weakly mixing if $(X \times X, T \times T)$ is topologically transitive. A weakly mixing minimal system has no distal points. There exist minimal weakly mixing system (X, T) such that for all pairs (x_1, x_2) that are not on the same orbit, the orbit closure of (x_1, x_2) in $X \times X$ is all of $X \times X$. In fact X can be taken in $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, and then for any $\xi \in X$, $\xi(0) = 1$, $A = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \xi(n) = 1\}$ will be a UMR - but not d-central.