Definable Versions of Menger's Conjecture

Franklin D. Tall and Seçil Tokgöz

July 18, 2016

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Definition 1

A space is **Menger** if whenever $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n < \omega}$ is a sequence of open covers, there exist finite $\{\mathcal{V}_n\}_{n < \omega}$ such that $\mathcal{V}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U}_n$ and $\bigcup \{\mathcal{V}_n : n < \omega\}$ is a cover.

Menger: Are Menger subsets of \mathbb{R} σ -compact?

Proposition 1 (Hurewicz 1925)

Completely metrizable (indeed, analytic) Menger spaces are σ -compact.

Example 1 (Chaber-Pol 2002, Tsaban-Zdomskyy 2008) There are Menger subsets of \mathbb{R} which are not σ -compact.

Problem 1

Are "definable" Menger subsets of $\mathbb{R} \sigma$ -compact?

Proposition 2 (Miller-Fremlin 1988)

V = L implies there is a CA (complement of analytic) Menger subset of \mathbb{R} which is not σ -compact.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Definition 2

The **projective** subsets of \mathbb{R} are obtained by closing the Borel sets under continuous image and complementation.

Definition 3

Let $X \subseteq {}^{\omega}\omega$. In the game G(X), player *I* picks $a_0 \in \omega$, player II picks $a_1 \in \omega$, player I picks $a_2 \in \omega$, etc. I wins iff $\{a_n\}_{n < \omega} \in X$. G(X) is **determined** if either I or II has a **winning strategy**. The **Axiom of Projective (co-analytic) Determinacy** says *all projective (co-analytic)* games are determined.

Theorem 3 (Miller-Fremlin, TT)

PD (CD) implies all projective (co-analytic) Menger subsets of \mathbb{R} are σ -compact.

Theorem 4 (TT)

If there is a measurable cardinal, then co-analytic Menger subsets of \mathbb{R} are σ -compact.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

It is known that CD is equiconsistent with a measurable.

Problem 2

Without large cardinals, is it consistent that co-analytic (projective?) Menger subsets of \mathbb{R} are σ -compact?

Theorem 5 (T-Todorcevic-T)

If it is consistent there is an inaccessible cardinal, it is consistent that projective Menger subsets of \mathbb{R} are σ -compact.

Proof.

Use a strengthening **OCA(projective)** of Todorcevic's **Open Coloring Axiom** mentioned in Feng (1993):

OCA(projective) If $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is uncountable projective and $[X]^2 = K_0 \cup K_1$ is a partition with K_0 open in the relative topology, then either there is a **perfect** $A \subseteq X$ with $[A]^2 \subseteq K_0$, or $X = \bigcup_{n < \omega} A_n$, with $[A_n]^2 \subseteq K_1$ for all $n < \omega$.

Theorem 6 (Feng)

OCA(projective) is equiconsistent with an inaccessible cardinal.

Hurewicz Dichotomy for projective sets Let *E* be a compact metrizable space and let *A*, *B* be disjoint projective subsets of *E*. Either there is a σ -compact $C \subseteq E$ such that $A \subseteq C$ and $C \cap B = \emptyset$, or there is a copy *F* of the Cantor set such that $F \subseteq A \cup B$ and $F \cap B$ is countable dense in *F*.

Problem 3

Can Hurewicz' theorem be extended to non-metrizable spaces?

Definition 4

A space is **analytic** if it is a continuous image of the space $\mathbb P$ of irrationals.

Proposition 7 (Arhangel'skiĭ 1986)

Analytic Menger spaces are σ -compact.

Theorem 8 (TT)

Čech-complete Menger spaces are σ -compact.

Proof.

A Čech-complete Lindelöf space is a perfect pre-image of a separable metrizable space. A perfect image of a Čech-complete space is Čech-complete. A continuous image of a Menger space is Menger. A perfect pre-image of a σ -compact space is σ -compact.

Definition 5

A space is **co-analytic** if its Čech-Stone remainder is analytic.

Problem 4

Is it consistent that co-analytic Menger spaces are σ -compact?

Example 2

There is a continuous image of a co-analytic space which is not σ -compact.

Okunev's space Take the Alexandrov duplicate of \mathbb{P} and collapse the non-discrete copy of \mathbb{P} to a point. See Burton-Tall 2012 for details.

Theorem 9 (Tall 2016, Tokgöz 2016)

It is undecidable whether co-analytic Menger topological groups are σ -compact.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Theorem 10 (TT)

CD implies co-analytic Menger spaces are σ -compact if they either have closed sets G_{δ} or are \sum -spaces.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Productive Lindelöfness

Definition 6

A space X is **productively Lindelöf** if for every Lindelöf Y, $X \times Y$ is Lindelöf.

Proposition 11

Productively Lindelöf spaces are consistently Menger. (Repovs-Zdomskyy 2012, Alas-Aurichi-Junqueira-Tall 2011, Tall 2013)

Problem 5

Are productively Lindelöf co-analytic spaces σ -compact?

Theorem 12

CH implies productively Lindelöf, co-analytic, nowhere locally compact spaces are σ -compact.

Theorem 13

There is a Michael space (i.e. a Lindelöf space X such that $X \times \mathbb{P}$ is not Lindelöf) iff productively Lindelöf Čech-complete spaces are σ -compact.

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Another generalization of definability

Definition 7 (Frolík)

A space is **K-analytic** if it is a continuous image of a Lindelöf Čech-complete space.

Example 3

Okunev's space is a K-analytic productively Lindelöf Menger space which is not σ -compact.

Theorem 14

K-analytic co-analytic Menger spaces are σ -compact.

Proof.

Such a space X is a Lindelöf p-space since both it and its remainder are Lindelöf \sum . Let X map perfectly onto a metrizable M. Then M is analytic and Menger, so is σ -compact, so X is also.

Definition 8

A space is **Hurewicz** if every Čech-complete space including it includes a σ -compact subspace including it.

Lemma 15

 σ -compact \rightarrow Hurewicz \rightarrow Menger. No arrow reverses, even for subsets of \mathbb{R} .

Okunev's space is Hurewicz.

Definition 9 (Arhangel'skiĭ 2000)

A space is **projectively** σ -compact if each continuous separable metrizable image of it is σ -compact.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Theorem 16 Every K-analytic Menger space is Hurewicz.

Proof. Each such space is projectively σ -compact.

Definition 10 (Rogers-Jayne 1980)

A space is K-Lusin if it is an injective continuous image of \mathbb{P} .

Problem 6 Is every Menger K-Lusin space σ -compact?

Lemma 17 (Rogers-Jayne 1980)

The following are equivalent for a K-Lusin X:

- (a) X includes a compact perfect set;
- (b) X admits a continuous real-valued function with uncountable range;
- (c) X is not the countable union of compact subspaces which include no perfect subsets. In particular, if X is not σ-compact, it includes a compact perfect set.

From this, we can conclude that Okunev's space is not K-Lusin, since it is not σ -compact but doesn't include a compact perfect set.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Indeed we have:

Definition 11

A space is **Rothberger** if whenever $\{U_n\}_{n < \omega}$ are open covers, there exists a cover $\{U_n\}_{n < \omega}, U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$.

Thus Rothberger is a strengthening of Menger.

Lemma 18 (Aurichi 2010)

Rothberger spaces do not include a compact perfect set.

Theorem 19 *K*-analytic Rothberger spaces are projectively countable.

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Proof.

They are projectively σ -compact.

Corollary 20 K-Lusin Rothberger spaces are σ -compact.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 17.

References I

- - Alas, O. T., Aurichi, L. F., Junqueira, L. R. and Tall, F. D. *Non-productively Lindelöf spaces and small cardinals.* Houston J. Math. 37 (2011), 1373-1381.



- Arhangel'skiĭ, A. V. On a class of spaces containing all metric spaces and all locally bicompact spaces, Sov. Math. Dokl. 4 (1963), 751-754.
- Arhangel'skiĭ, A. V. Hurewicz spaces, analytic sets and fan tightness in function spaces. Sov. Math. Dokl. 33 (1986), 396-399.
- Arhangel'skiĭ, A. V. *Projective* σ -compactness, ω_1 -caliber, and C_p -spaces. In Proceedings of the French-Japanese Conference Hyper- space Topologies and Applications (La Bussi'ere, 1997) (2000), vol. 104, pp. 13-26.
- Arhangel'skiĭ, A. V. Remainders in compactifications and generalized metrizability properties. Topology Appl. 150 (2005), 79-90.
- Bartoszyński, T., and Tsaban, B. *Hereditary topological diagonalizations and the Menger-Hurewicz conjectures.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 134 (2006).

References II

- Burton, P. and Tall, F. D. *Productive Lindelöfness and a class of spaces considered by Z. Frolík.* Topology Appl. 159 (2012), 3097-3102.
- Chaber, J. and Pol, R. A remark on Fremlin-Miller theorem concerning the Menger property and Michael concentrated sets, unpublished note, 2002.
- Feng, Q. *Homogeneity for open partitions of pairs of reals.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 339 (1993), 659-684.
- Frolík, Z. On the descriptive theory of sets. Czechoslovak Math. J. 20 (1963), 335-359.
- Hurewicz, W. *Uber eine Verallgemeinerung des Borelschen Theorems.* Math. Zeit. 24 (1925), 401-421.
- - Miller, A. W., and Fremlin, D. H. *On some properties of Hurewicz, Menger, and Rothberger.* Fund. Math. 129 (1988), 17-33.
 - Repovš, D. and Zdomskyy L. *On the Menger covering property and D spaces.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 140 (2012), 1069-1074.

References III

- Rogers, C. A., and Jayne, J. E. *K-analytic sets*. In Analytic sets, C. A. Rogers, Ed. Academic Press, London, 1980, pp. 2-175.
- Tall, F. D. Lindelöf spaces which are Menger, Hurewicz, Alster, productive, or D. Topology Appl. 158 (2011), 2556-2563.
- Tall, F.D. Productively Lindelöf spaces may all be D. Canad. Math. Bull.
 56 (2013), 203-212.
 - Tall, F.D. Definable versions of Menger's conjecture, preprint.
- Tall, F.D. and Tokgöz, S. *On the definability of Menger spaces which are not σ-compact.* Topology Appl., to appear.
- Tall, F.D. Todorcevic, S. and Tokgöz, S. *OCA and Menger's conjecture,* in preparation.
- Todorcevic, S. *Partition problems in Topology*, vol. 84 of Contemporary Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1989.



Todorcevic, S. Analytic gaps. Fund. Math. 150 (1996), 55-66.

References IV



Tokgöz, S. A co-analytic Menger group which is not σ -compact, submitted.

Velicković, B. Applications of the Open Coloring Axiom. In Set Theory of the Continuum, eds. H. Judah, W. Just, W. A. Woodin, MSRI Publ. v. 26, 1992, pp.137-154.

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()