Pinning Down versus Density

Lajos Soukup

Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics Hungarian Academy of Sciences

http://www.renyi.hu/~soukup

Twelfth Symposium on General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra

joint work with I. Juhász, J. van Mill and Z. Szentmiklóssy

$$X \mapsto F(X) \in Card$$

$$X \approx Y \Longrightarrow F(X) = F(Y)$$

$$X \mapsto F(X) \in Card$$

$X \approx Y \Longrightarrow F(X) = F(Y)$



$$X \mapsto F(X) \in Card$$

$X \approx Y \Longrightarrow F(X) = F(Y)$

- |X|
- $w(X) = \min\{|B| : B \text{ is a base}\}$

$$X \mapsto F(X) \in Card$$

$X \approx Y \Longrightarrow F(X) = F(Y)$

- |X|
- $w(X) = \min\{|B| : B \text{ is a base}\}$
- $d(X) = \min\{|D| : D \subset^{dense} X\}$

- |X|
- $w(X) = \min\{|\mathcal{B}| : \mathcal{B} \text{ is a base}\}$
- $d(X) = \min\{|D| : D \subset^{dense} X\}$

- |X|
- $w(X) = \min\{|\mathcal{B}| : \mathcal{B} \text{ is a base}\}$
- $d(X) = \min\{|D| : D \subset^{dense} X\}$

•
$$d(X) \le w(X) \le 2^{|X|}$$
.

- |X|
- $w(X) = \min\{|B| : B \text{ is a base}\}$
- $d(X) = \min\{|D| : D \subset^{dense} X\}$
- $d(X) \leq w(X) \leq 2^{|X|}$.
- (Posposil) X Hausdorff: $|X| \le 2^{2^{d(X)}}$. Sharp: $\beta \omega$

- |X|
- $w(X) = min\{|B| : B \text{ is a base}\}$
- $d(X) = \min\{|D| : D \subset X\}$
- $d(X) \leq w(X) \leq 2^{|X|}$.
- (Posposil) X Hausdorff: $|X| \le 2^{2^{d(X)}}$. Sharp: $\beta \omega$
- X regular: $w(X) \leq 2^{d(X)}$.

- |X|
- $w(X) = min\{|B| : B \text{ is a base}\}$
- $d(X) = \min\{|D| : D \subset^{dense} X\}$
- $d(X) \leq w(X) \leq 2^{|X|}$.
- (Posposil) X Hausdorff: $|X| \le 2^{2^{d(X)}}$. Sharp: $\beta \omega$
- X regular: $w(X) \leq 2^{d(X)}$.
- X Hausdorff: $w(X) \le 2^{2^{2^{d(X)}}}$. Sharp (Kunen Juhász)

Pinning down number

 $p \in U(p)$ for all $p \in X$

 $p \in U(p)$ for all $p \in X$

 $A \subset X$ pins down a neighborhood assignment U iff

 $A \cap U(p) \neq \emptyset$ for all $p \in X$

 $p \in U(p)$ for all $p \in X$

 $A \subset X$ pins down a neighborhood assignment U iff

 $A \cap U(p) \neq \emptyset$ for all $p \in X$

* A dense set pins down every neighborhood assignment

 $p \in U(p)$ for all $p \in X$

 $A \subset X$ pins down a neighborhood assignment U iff

 $A \cap U(p) \neq \emptyset$ for all $p \in X$

* A dense set pins down every neighborhood assignment
Definition (T. Banakh, A. Ravsky)
pinning down number of a space X:

 $\mathsf{pd}(X) = \min\{\kappa : \forall U \in \mathsf{NEA}(X) \exists A \in [X]^{\kappa} (A \text{ pins down } U)\}$

 $p \in U(p)$ for all $p \in X$

 $A \subset X$ pins down a neighborhood assignment U iff

 $A \cap U(p) \neq \emptyset$ for all $p \in X$

* A dense set pins down every neighborhood assignment
Definition (T. Banakh, A. Ravsky)
pinning down number of a space X:

 $\mathsf{pd}(X) = \min\{\kappa : \forall U \in \mathsf{NEA}(X) \; \exists A \in [X]^{\kappa} \; (A \; \textit{pins down U})\}$

 $\star \quad \mathsf{pd}(X) \leq \mathsf{d}(X).$

 $p \in U(p)$ for all $p \in X$

 $A \subset X$ pins down a neighborhood assignment U iff

 $A \cap U(p) \neq \emptyset$ for all $p \in X$

* A dense set pins down every neighborhood assignment
Definition (T. Banakh, A. Ravsky)
pinning down number of a space X:

 $\mathsf{pd}(X) = \min\{\kappa : \forall U \in \mathsf{NEA}(X) \exists A \in [X]^{\kappa} (A \text{ pins down } U)\}$

* $pd(X) \leq d(X)$.

- T. Banakh, A. Ravsky: $e^{-}(X)$, foredensity ;
- Aurichi, Bella: $d_{NA}(X)$,

- *U* is a NEA on *X* iff $U : X \to \tau_X$ s.t. $a \in U(a)$ for all $a \in X$
- $P \subset X$ pins down a nea U iff $P \cap U(a) \neq \emptyset$ for all $a \in X$
- $pd(X) = min\{\kappa : \forall U \in NEA(X) \exists A \in [X]^{\kappa} (A \text{ pins down } U)\}$
- $pd(X) \leq d(X)$.

- *U* is a NEA on *X* iff $U : X \to \tau_X$ s.t. $a \in U(a)$ for all $a \in X$
- $P \subset X$ pins down a nea U iff $P \cap U(a) \neq \emptyset$ for all $a \in X$
- $pd(X) = min\{\kappa : \forall U \in NEA(X) \exists A \in [X]^{\kappa} (A \text{ pins down } U)\}$
- $pd(X) \leq d(X)$.

Theorem (T. Banakh, A. Ravsky)

- If X is T_2 , $|X| < \aleph_{\omega}$, then pd(X) = d(X).
- If 2^{2^{cf(κ)}} > κ > cf(κ), then there is a T₂ space X with pd(X) < d(X).

- *U* is a NEA on *X* iff $U : X \to \tau_X$ s.t. $a \in U(a)$ for all $a \in X$
- $P \subset X$ pins down a nea U iff $P \cap U(a) \neq \emptyset$ for all $a \in X$
- $pd(X) = min\{\kappa : \forall U \in NEA(X) \exists A \in [X]^{\kappa} (A \text{ pins down } U)\}$
- $pd(X) \leq d(X)$.

Theorem (T. Banakh, A. Ravsky)

- If X is T_2 , $|X| < \aleph_{\omega}$, then pd(X) = d(X).
- If $2^{2^{cf(\kappa)}} > \kappa > cf(\kappa)$, then there is a T_2 space X with pd(X) < d(X).

A topological space X is a pd-example iff pd(X) < d(X).

- *U* is a NEA on *X* iff $U : X \to \tau_X$ s.t. $a \in U(a)$ for all $a \in X$
- $P \subset X$ pins down a nea U iff $P \cap U(a) \neq \emptyset$ for all $a \in X$
- $pd(X) = min\{\kappa : \forall U \in NEA(X) \exists A \in [X]^{\kappa} (A \text{ pins down } U)\}$
- $pd(X) \leq d(X)$.

Theorem (T. Banakh, A. Ravsky)

- If X is T_2 , $|X| < \aleph_{\omega}$, then pd(X) = d(X).
- If $2^{2^{cf(\kappa)}} > \kappa > cf(\kappa)$, then there is a T_2 space X with pd(X) < d(X).

A topological space X is a pd-example iff pd(X) < d(X).

Questions

- Regular pd-example?
- ZFC pd-example?

- *U* is a NEA on *X* iff $U : X \to \tau_X$ s.t. $a \in U(a)$ for all $a \in X$
- $P \subset X$ pins down a nea U iff $P \cap U(a) \neq \emptyset$ for all $a \in X$
- $pd(X) = min\{\kappa : \forall U \in NEA(X) \exists A \in [X]^{\kappa} (A \text{ pins down } U)\}$

First equivalence

- *U* is a NEA on *X* iff $U : X \to \tau_X$ s.t. $a \in U(a)$ for all $a \in X$
- $P \subset X$ pins down a nea U iff $P \cap U(a) \neq \emptyset$ for all $a \in X$
- $pd(X) = min\{\kappa : \forall U \in NEA(X) \exists A \in [X]^{\kappa} (A \text{ pins down } U)\}$

Theorem (I. Juhász, L.S., Z. Szentmiklóssy) *T.F.A.E:*

- (1) $2^{\kappa} < \kappa^{+\omega}$ for each cardinal κ ,
- (2) pd(X) = d(X) for each T_2 space X,
- (3) pd(X) = d(X) for each 0-dimensional T_2 space X.

• dispersion character

$$\Delta(X) = \min\{|U| : \emptyset \neq U \subset^{open} X\}.$$

• dispersion character

$$\Delta(X) = \min\{|U| : \emptyset \neq U \subset^{open} X\}.$$

• X is neat: $|X| = \Delta(X)$

dispersion character

$$\Delta(X) = \min\{|U| : \emptyset \neq U \subset^{open} X\}.$$

• X is neat:
$$|X| = \Delta(X)$$

We prove:

If $2^{\omega} > \omega_{\omega}$ then there is a 0-dimensional space X with $pd(X) = \omega$ and $|X| = \Delta(X) = d(X) = \omega_{\omega}$.



•
$$X = \langle \omega_{\omega} \times \omega, \tau \rangle \bullet X_n = (\omega_n \setminus \omega_{n-1}) \times \omega \bullet \mathbb{P} = \prod (\omega_n \setminus \omega_{n-1}).$$



•
$$X = \langle \omega_{\omega} \times \omega, \tau \rangle \bullet X_n = (\omega_n \setminus \omega_{n-1}) \times \omega \bullet \mathbb{P} = \prod (\omega_n \setminus \omega_{n-1}).$$

If $n \in \omega$, $f \in \mathbb{P}$, $A \subset \omega$ let $G(n, f, A) = \bigcup_{m \ge n} \left((\omega_m \setminus f(m)) \times A \right)$.



• $X = \langle \omega_{\omega} \times \omega, \tau \rangle \bullet X_n = (\omega_n \setminus \omega_{n-1}) \times \omega. \bullet \mathbb{P} = \prod (\omega_n \setminus \omega_{n-1}).$

If $n \in \omega$, $f \in \mathbb{P}$, $A \subset \omega$ let $G(n, f, A) = \bigcup_{m \ge n} \left((\omega_m \setminus f(m)) \times A \right)$.



• $X = \langle \omega_{\omega} \times \omega, \tau \rangle \bullet X_n = (\omega_n \setminus \omega_{n-1}) \times \omega \bullet \mathbb{P} = \prod (\omega_n \setminus \omega_{n-1}).$

If $n \in \omega$, $f \in \mathbb{P}$, $A \subset \omega$ let $G(n, f, A) = \bigcup_{m \ge n} ((\omega_m \setminus f(m)) \times A).$



• $X = \langle \omega_{\omega} \times \omega, \tau \rangle \bullet X_n = (\omega_n \setminus \omega_{n-1}) \times \omega \bullet \mathbb{P} = \prod (\omega_n \setminus \omega_{n-1}).$

If $n \in \omega$, $f \in \mathbb{P}$, $A \subset \omega$ let $G(n, f, A) = \bigcup_{m \ge n} ((\omega_m \setminus f(m)) \times A).$



• $X = \langle \omega_{\omega} \times \omega, \tau \rangle \bullet X_n = (\omega_n \setminus \omega_{n-1}) \times \omega \bullet \mathbb{P} = \prod (\omega_n \setminus \omega_{n-1}).$

If $n \in \omega$, $f \in \mathbb{P}$, $A \subset \omega$ let $G(n, f, A) = \bigcup_{m \ge n} ((\omega_m \setminus f(m)) \times A).$


•
$$X = \langle \omega_{\omega} \times \omega, \tau \rangle \bullet X_n = (\omega_n \setminus \omega_{n-1}) \times \omega \bullet \mathbb{P} = \prod (\omega_n \setminus \omega_{n-1}).$$

If $n \in \omega$, $f \in \mathbb{P}$, $A \subset \omega$ let $G(n, f, A) = \bigcup_{m \ge n} \left((\omega_m \setminus f(m)) \times A \right)$. Fix an independent family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_{n,f} : n \in \omega, f \in \mathbb{P}\} \subset [\omega]^{\omega}$.

Clopen subbase of τ : { $G(n, f, A_{n,f}) : n \in \omega, f \in \mathbb{P}$.}



•
$$X = \langle \omega_{\omega} \times \omega, \tau \rangle \bullet X_n = (\omega_n \setminus \omega_{n-1}) \times \omega \bullet \mathbb{P} = \prod (\omega_n \setminus \omega_{n-1}).$$

If $n \in \omega$, $f \in \mathbb{P}$, $A \subset \omega$ let $G(n, f, A) = \bigcup_{m \ge n} \left((\omega_m \setminus f(m)) \times A \right)$. Fix an independent family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_{n,f} : n \in \omega, f \in \mathbb{P}\} \subset [\omega]^{\omega}$.

Clopen subbase of τ : { $G(n, f, A_{n,f}) : n \in \omega, f \in \mathbb{P}$.}

If $\emptyset \neq U \subset^{open} X$ then $G(n, f, A) \subset U$ for some $n \in \omega, f \in \prod, A \in \langle A \rangle$

Clopen subbase: { $G(n, f, A_{n,f}) : n \in \omega, f \in \mathbb{P}$.}

If $\emptyset \neq U \subset^{open} X$ then $G(n, f, A) \subset U$ for some $n \in \omega, f \in \prod, A_U \in \langle A \rangle$

Clopen subbase: { $G(n, f, A_{n,f}) : n \in \omega, f \in \mathbb{P}$.}

Clopen subbase: { $G(n, f, A_{n,f}) : n \in \omega, f \in \mathbb{P}$.}

If $\emptyset \neq U \subset open X$ then $G(n, f, A) \subset U$ for some $n \in \omega$, $f \in \prod, A_U \in \langle A \rangle$ Claim: $d(X) = \omega_{\omega}$.

• Assume $|D| < \omega_{\omega}$.

Clopen subbase: { $G(n, f, A_{n,f}) : n \in \omega, f \in \mathbb{P}$.}

- Assume $|D| < \omega_{\omega}$.
- $|D| < \omega_n$ for some *n*

Clopen subbase: { $G(n, f, A_{n,f}) : n \in \omega, f \in \mathbb{P}$.}

- Assume $|D| < \omega_{\omega}$.
- $|D| < \omega_n$ for some *n*
- there is $f \in \mathbb{P}$ such that $D \cap X_m \subset f(m) \times \omega$ for $m \ge n$.

Clopen subbase: { $G(n, f, A_{n,f}) : n \in \omega, f \in \mathbb{P}$.}

- Assume $|D| < \omega_{\omega}$.
- $|D| < \omega_n$ for some *n*
- there is $f \in \mathbb{P}$ such that $D \cap X_m \subset f(m) \times \omega$ for $m \ge n$.
- Then $G(n, f, A_{n,f}) \cap D = \emptyset$.

Clopen subbase: { $G(n, f, A_{n,f}) : n \in \omega, f \in \mathbb{P}$.}

- Assume $|D| < \omega_{\omega}$.
- $|D| < \omega_n$ for some *n*
- there is $f \in \mathbb{P}$ such that $D \cap X_m \subset f(m) \times \omega$ for $m \ge n$.
- Then $G(n, f, A_{n,f}) \cap D = \emptyset$.
- Thus *D* is not dense.

If $\emptyset \neq U \subset^{open} X$ then $G(n, f, A) \subset U$ for some $n \in \omega, f \in \prod, A_U \in \langle A \rangle$

• $\boldsymbol{U}: \boldsymbol{X} \to \tau$ be a NEA.

- $\boldsymbol{U}: \boldsymbol{X} \to \tau$ be a NEA.
- Then $U(p) \supset G(n_p, f_p, A_p)$ for all $p \in X$

- $\boldsymbol{U}: \boldsymbol{X} \to \tau$ be a NEA.
- Then $U(p) \supset G(n_p, f_p, A_p)$ for all $p \in X$
- there is $g \in \mathbb{P}$ s.t. $f_p <^* g$ for all $p \in X$.

- $\boldsymbol{U}: \boldsymbol{X} \to \tau$ be a NEA.
- Then $U(p) \supset G(n_p, f_p, A_p)$ for all $p \in X$
- there is $g \in \mathbb{P}$ s.t. $f_p <^* g$ for all $p \in X$.
- $R = \{g(n) : n \in \omega\} \times \omega$ pins down U

- $\boldsymbol{U}: \boldsymbol{X} \to \tau$ be a NEA.
- Then $U(p) \supset G(n_p, f_p, A_p)$ for all $p \in X$
- there is $g \in \mathbb{P}$ s.t. $f_p <^* g$ for all $p \in X$.
- $R = \{g(n) : n \in \omega\} \times \omega$ pins down U
- Let $p \in X$. Then $U(p) \supset G(n_p, f_p, A_p)$.

- $\boldsymbol{U}: \boldsymbol{X} \to \tau$ be a NEA.
- Then $U(p) \supset G(n_p, f_p, A_p)$ for all $p \in X$
- there is $g \in \mathbb{P}$ s.t. $f_p <^* g$ for all $p \in X$.
- $R = \{g(n) : n \in \omega\} \times \omega$ pins down U
- Let $p \in X$. Then $U(p) \supset G(n_p, f_p, A_p)$.
- $\exists n \geq n_p \text{ s.t. } f_p(n) < g(n)$

- $\boldsymbol{U}: \boldsymbol{X} \to \tau$ be a NEA.
- Then $U(p) \supset G(n_p, f_p, A_p)$ for all $p \in X$
- there is $g \in \mathbb{P}$ s.t. $f_p <^* g$ for all $p \in X$.
- $R = \{g(n) : n \in \omega\} \times \omega$ pins down U
- Let $p \in X$. Then $U(p) \supset G(n_p, f_p, A_p)$.
- $\exists n \geq n_p \text{ s.t. } f_p(n) < g(n)$



- $\boldsymbol{U}: \boldsymbol{X} \to \tau$ be a NEA.
- Then $U(p) \supset G(n_{\rho}, f_{\rho}, A_{\rho})$ for all $\rho \in X$
- there is $g \in \mathbb{P}$ s.t. $f_p <^* g$ for all $p \in X$.
- $R = \{g(n) : n \in \omega\} \times \omega$ pins down U
- Let $p \in X$. Then $U(p) \supset G(n_p, f_p, A_p)$.
- $\exists n \geq n_p \text{ s.t. } f_p(n) < g(n)$



- $\boldsymbol{U}: \boldsymbol{X} \to \tau$ be a NEA.
- Then $U(p) \supset G(n_p, f_p, A_p)$ for all $p \in X$
- there is $g \in \mathbb{P}$ s.t. $f_p <^* g$ for all $p \in X$.
- $R = \{g(n) : n \in \omega\} \times \omega$ pins down U
- Let $p \in X$. Then $U(p) \supset G(n_p, f_p, A_p)$.
- $\exists n \geq n_p \text{ s.t. } f_p(n) < g(n)$



- $\boldsymbol{U}: \boldsymbol{X} \to \tau$ be a NEA.
- Then $U(p) \supset G(n_p, f_p, A_p)$ for all $p \in X$
- there is $g \in \mathbb{P}$ s.t. $f_p <^* g$ for all $p \in X$.
- $R = \{g(n) : n \in \omega\} \times \omega$ pins down U
- Let $p \in X$. Then $U(p) \supset G(n_p, f_p, A_p)$.
- $\exists n \geq n_p \text{ s.t. } f_p(n) < g(n)$



- $\boldsymbol{U}: \boldsymbol{X} \to \tau$ be a NEA.
- Then $U(p) \supset G(n_p, f_p, A_p)$ for all $p \in X$
- there is $g \in \mathbb{P}$ s.t. $f_p <^* g$ for all $p \in X$.
- $R = \{g(n) : n \in \omega\} \times \omega$ pins down U
- Let $p \in X$. Then $U(p) \supset G(n_p, f_p, A_p)$.
- $\exists n \geq n_p \text{ s.t. } f_p(n) < g(n)$



- $\boldsymbol{U}: \boldsymbol{X} \to \tau$ be a NEA.
- Then $U(p) \supset G(n_p, f_p, A_p)$ for all $p \in X$
- there is $g \in \mathbb{P}$ s.t. $f_p <^* g$ for all $p \in X$.
- $R = \{g(n) : n \in \omega\} \times \omega$ pins down U
- Let $p \in X$. Then $U(p) \supset G(n_p, f_p, A_p)$.
- $\exists n \geq n_p \text{ s.t. } f_p(n) < g(n)$
- Then $R \cap X_n \cap G(n_p, f_p, A_p) \neq \emptyset$.



Some observations

Some observations

If pd(X) < d(X), then $\exists Y \subset^{open} X$ s.t. pd(Y) < d(Y) and $\Delta(Y) = |Y|$.

If pd(X) < d(X), then $\exists Y \subset^{open} X$ s.t. pd(Y) < d(Y) and $\Delta(Y) = |Y|$.

First pd-examples:

$$\operatorname{pd}(X) = \operatorname{cf}(|X|) < \operatorname{d}(X) = \Delta(X) = |X|.$$

If pd(X) < d(X), then $\exists Y \subset^{open} X$ s.t. pd(Y) < d(Y) and $\Delta(Y) = |Y|$.

First pd-examples:

$$\operatorname{pd}(X) = \operatorname{cf}(|X|) < \operatorname{d}(X) = \Delta(X) = |X|.$$

Questions

- Can d(X) be a regular cardinal?
- Can |X| be a regular cardinal?

If pd(X) < d(X), then $\exists Y \subset^{open} X$ s.t. pd(Y) < d(Y) and $\Delta(Y) = |Y|$.

First pd-examples:

$$\operatorname{pd}(X) = \operatorname{cf}(|X|) < \operatorname{d}(X) = \Delta(X) = |X|.$$

Questions

- Can d(X) be a regular cardinal?
- Can |X| be a regular cardinal?

Modified construction:

 $\mathsf{pd}(X) = \mathsf{cf}(|X|) < \mathsf{d}(X) = \mathsf{cf}(\mathsf{d}(X)) < \Delta(X) = |X|$

•
$$\mu > cf(\mu)$$

- $\mu > cf(\mu)$
- $S(\mu) = \{ a \in [\mu \cap \mathfrak{Reg}]^{\mathsf{cf}(\mu)} : \sup a = \mu \}$

- $\mu > cf(\mu)$
- $S(\mu) = \{ a \in [\mu \cap \mathfrak{Reg}]^{\mathsf{cf}(\mu)} : \sup a = \mu \}$
- $\mathcal{U}(a) = \{D : D \text{ is an ultrafilter on } a, D \cap J^{bd}[a] = \emptyset\}.$

- $\mu > cf(\mu)$
- $S(\mu) = \{ a \in [\mu \cap \mathfrak{Reg}]^{\mathsf{cf}(\mu)} : \sup a = \mu \}$
- $\mathcal{U}(a) = \{D : D \text{ is an ultrafilter on } a, D \cap J^{bd}[a] = \emptyset\}.$
- $pp(\mu) = sup\{cf(\prod a/D) : a \in S(\mu), D \in U(a))\}$

- $\mu > cf(\mu)$
- $S(\mu) = \{ a \in [\mu \cap \mathfrak{Reg}]^{\mathsf{cf}(\mu)} : \sup a = \mu \}$
- $\mathcal{U}(a) = \{D : D \text{ is an ultrafilter on } a, D \cap J^{bd}[a] = \emptyset\}.$
- $pp(\mu) = sup\{cf(\prod a/D) : a \in S(\mu), D \in U(a))\}$

Shelah's Strong Hypothesis:

 $pp(\mu) = \mu^+$ for all singular cardinal μ .

An equiconsistency result

Theorem (I. Juhász, L.S., Z. Szentmiklóssy)

The following three statements are equiconsistent:

- (i) There is a singular cardinal λ with pp(λ) > λ⁺, i.e. Shelah's Strong Hypothesis fails;
- (ii) there is a 0-dimensional Hausdorff space X such that $|X| = \Delta(X)$ is a regular cardinal and pd(X) < d(X);
- (iii) there is a topological space X such that $|X| = \Delta(X)$ is a regular cardinal and pd(X) < d(X).
Theorem (I. Juhász, L.S., Z. Szentmiklóssy)

The following three statements are equiconsistent:

- (i) There is a singular cardinal λ with pp(λ) > λ⁺, i.e. Shelah's Strong Hypothesis fails;
- (ii) there is a 0-dimensional Hausdorff space X such that $|X| = \Delta(X)$ is a regular cardinal and pd(X) < d(X);
- (iii) there is a topological space X such that $|X| = \Delta(X)$ is a regular cardinal and pd(X) < d(X).

No equivalence:

Con(failure of SSH + the limit cardinals are strong limit)

Theorem (I. Juhász, J. van Mill, L.S., Z. Szentmiklóssy) *T:F.A.E:*

- (1) $2^{\kappa} < \kappa^{+\omega}$ for each cardinal κ ,
- (2) pd(X) = d(X) for each T_2 space X,
- (3) pd(X) = d(X) for each 0-dimensional T_2 space X.

Theorem (I. Juhász, J. van Mill, L.S., Z. Szentmiklóssy) *T:F.A.E:*

(1) $2^{\kappa} < \kappa^{+\omega}$ for each cardinal κ ,

(2) pd(X) = d(X) for each T_2 space X,

(3) pd(X) = d(X) for each 0-dimensional T_2 space X.

(4) pd(X) = d(X) for all connected, locally connected regular spaces.

(5) pd(X) = d(X) for all Abelian topological groups.

Theorem (I. Juhász, J. van Mill, L.S., Z. Szentmiklóssy) *T:F.A.E:*

(1) $2^{\kappa} < \kappa^{+\omega}$ for each cardinal κ ,

(2) pd(X) = d(X) for each T_2 space X,

(3) pd(X) = d(X) for each 0-dimensional T_2 space X.

(4) pd(X) = d(X) for all connected, locally connected regular spaces.

(5) pd(X) = d(X) for all Abelian topological groups.

What about connected Tychonoff spaces?

Theorem (I. Juhász, J. van Mill, L.S., Z. Szentmiklóssy) *T:F.A.E:*

(1) $2^{\kappa} < \kappa^{+\omega}$ for each cardinal κ ,

(2) pd(X) = d(X) for each T_2 space X,

(3) pd(X) = d(X) for each 0-dimensional T_2 space X.

(4) pd(X) = d(X) for all connected, locally connected regular spaces.

(5) pd(X) = d(X) for all Abelian topological groups.

What about connected Tychonoff spaces?

Theorem (JvMSSz)

It is consistent that

Theorem (I. Juhász, J. van Mill, L.S., Z. Szentmiklóssy) *T:F.A.E:*

(1) $2^{\kappa} < \kappa^{+\omega}$ for each cardinal κ ,

(2) pd(X) = d(X) for each T_2 space X,

(3) pd(X) = d(X) for each 0-dimensional T_2 space X.

(4) pd(X) = d(X) for all connected, locally connected regular spaces.

(5) pd(X) = d(X) for all Abelian topological groups.

What about connected Tychonoff spaces?

Theorem (JvMSSz)

It is consistent that

• there is a 0-dimensional space X with pd(X) < d(X)

Theorem (I. Juhász, J. van Mill, L.S., Z. Szentmiklóssy) *T:F.A.E:*

(1) $2^{\kappa} < \kappa^{+\omega}$ for each cardinal κ ,

(2) pd(X) = d(X) for each T_2 space X,

(3) pd(X) = d(X) for each 0-dimensional T_2 space X.

(4) pd(X) = d(X) for all connected, locally connected regular spaces.

(5) pd(X) = d(X) for all Abelian topological groups.

What about connected Tychonoff spaces?

Theorem (JvMSSz)

It is consistent that

- there is a 0-dimensional space X with pd(X) < d(X)
- pd(X) = d(X) for all connected Tychonoff spaces.

If X is a connected, Tychonoff space then $|X| \ge 2^{\omega}$.

If X is a connected, Tychonoff space then $|X| \ge 2^{\omega}$.

Theorem (I. Juhász, J. van Mill, L.S., Z. Szentmiklóssy) *T:F.A.E:*

- (1) There is a singular cardinal $\mu \ge 2^{\omega}$ which is not a strong limit cardinal.
- (2) There is a neat, connected, locally connected Tychonoff space X with singular Δ(X) = |X| and pd(X) < d(X).</p>

If X is a connected, Tychonoff space then $|X| \ge 2^{\omega}$.

Theorem (I. Juhász, J. van Mill, L.S., Z. Szentmiklóssy) *T:F.A.E:*

- (1) There is a singular cardinal $\mu \ge 2^{\omega}$ which is not a strong limit cardinal.
- (2) There is a neat, connected, locally connected Tychonoff space X with singular Δ(X) = |X| and pd(X) < d(X).</p>
- (3) There is a neat, pathwise connected, locally pathwise connected Tychonoff Abelian topological group X with singular ∆(X) = |X| and pd(X) < d(X).</p>

Extension theorems

Extension theorems

connected T_3 pd-example

connected, locally connected T_3 pd-example

group pd-example

locally pathwise connected $T_{3.5}$ group pd-example

0-dimensional pd-example

Extension theorems



• Assume that X is a T_3 pd-example.

- Assume that X is a T_3 pd-example.
- Ciesielski and Wojciechowsk: there is a separable connected T_3 space P of size ω_1

- Assume that X is a T_3 pd-example.
- Ciesielski and Wojciechowsk: there is a separable connected T₃ space P of size ω₁
- Fix $p \in P$. The underlying set of Z is

 $(X \times (P \setminus \{p\})) \cup \{\infty\}.$

- Assume that X is a T_3 pd-example.
- Ciesielski and Wojciechowsk: there is a separable connected T₃ space P of size ω₁
- Fix $p \in P$. The underlying set of Z is

 $(X \times (P \setminus \{p\})) \cup \{\infty\}.$

Topology on X × (P \ {p}) in Z is the product topology.
 A basic neighborhood of ∞ has the form

 $(X \times (U \setminus \{p\})) \cup \{\infty\},\$

where U is any neighborhood of p in P.

- Assume that X is a T_3 pd-example.
- Ciesielski and Wojciechowsk: there is a separable connected T₃ space P of size ω₁
- Fix $p \in P$. The underlying set of Z is

 $(X \times (P \setminus \{p\})) \cup \{\infty\}.$

Topology on X × (P \ {p}) in Z is the product topology.
 A basic neighborhood of ∞ has the form

 $(X \times (U \setminus \{p\})) \cup \{\infty\},\$

where U is any neighborhood of p in P.

• Theorem: Z is connected T_3 , d(X) = d(Z) and pd(X) = pd(Z).

• de Groot introduced the superextension of X denoted by λX

- de Groot introduced the superextension of X denoted by λX
- \mathcal{L} is linked system if any two of its members meet.

- de Groot introduced the superextension of X denoted by λX
- \mathcal{L} is linked system if any two of its members meet.
- $\lambda X = \{ \mathcal{L} : \mathcal{L} \text{ is a maximal linked family of } \}$

- de Groot introduced the superextension of X denoted by λX
- \mathcal{L} is linked system if any two of its members meet.
- $\lambda X = \{ \mathcal{L} : \mathcal{L} \text{ is a maximal linked family of } \}$

of closed subsets of X. }

• For $A \subset X$ let $A^+ = \{ \mathcal{M} \in \lambda X : (\exists M \in \mathcal{M}) (M \subset A) \}.$

- de Groot introduced the superextension of X denoted by λX
- \mathcal{L} is linked system if any two of its members meet.
- $\lambda X = \{ \mathcal{L} : \mathcal{L} \text{ is a maximal linked family of } \}$

- For $A \subset X$ let $A^+ = \{ \mathcal{M} \in \lambda X : (\exists M \in \mathcal{M}) (M \subset A) \}.$
- closed subbase of λX:
 {A⁺ : A is closed in X}

- de Groot introduced the superextension of X denoted by λX
- \mathcal{L} is linked system if any two of its members meet.
- $\lambda X = \{ \mathcal{L} : \mathcal{L} \text{ is a maximal linked family of } \}$

- For $A \subset X$ let $A^+ = \{ \mathcal{M} \in \lambda X : (\exists M \in \mathcal{M}) (M \subset A) \}.$
- closed subbase of λX: {A⁺ : A is closed in X}
- $\lambda_f X = \{ \mathcal{L} \in \lambda X : \exists M \in [X]^{<\omega} \ (\forall L \in \mathcal{L}) \ L \cap M \in \mathcal{L} \}$

- de Groot introduced the superextension of X denoted by λX
- \mathcal{L} is linked system if any two of its members meet.
- $\lambda X = \{ \mathcal{L} : \mathcal{L} \text{ is a maximal linked family of } \}$

- For $A \subset X$ let $A^+ = \{ \mathcal{M} \in \lambda X : (\exists M \in \mathcal{M}) (M \subset A) \}.$
- closed subbase of λX : { A^+ : A is closed in X}
- $\lambda_f X = \{ \mathcal{L} \in \lambda X : \exists M \in [X]^{<\omega} \ (\forall L \in \mathcal{L}) \ L \cap M \in \mathcal{L} \}$
- Verbeek: X is connected $\Longrightarrow \lambda_f X$ is connected and locally connected

- de Groot introduced the superextension of X denoted by λX
- \mathcal{L} is linked system if any two of its members meet.
- $\lambda X = \{ \mathcal{L} : \mathcal{L} \text{ is a maximal linked family of } \}$

- For $A \subset X$ let $A^+ = \{ \mathcal{M} \in \lambda X : (\exists M \in \mathcal{M}) (M \subset A) \}.$
- closed subbase of λX:
 {A⁺ : A is closed in X}
- $\lambda_f X = \{ \mathcal{L} \in \lambda X : \exists M \in [X]^{<\omega} \ (\forall L \in \mathcal{L}) \ L \cap M \in \mathcal{L} \}$
- Verbeek: X is connected $\Longrightarrow \lambda_f X$ is connected and locally connected
- JvMSSz: $d(X) = d(\lambda_f X)$ and $pd(X) = pd(\lambda_f X)$

$pd-example \Longrightarrow$ (Abelian) group pd-example

$pd-example \Longrightarrow$ (Abelian) group pd-example

If X is a $T_{3.5}$ -space, then F(X) and A(X) denote the free topological group and the free abelian topological group on X.

- 1. X generates F(X) algebraically,
- 2. every continuous function $f : X \to H$, where *H* is any topological group, can be extended to a continuous homomorphism $f : F(X) \to H$.

- 1. X generates F(X) algebraically,
- 2. every continuous function $f : X \to H$, where *H* is any topological group, can be extended to a continuous homomorphism $f : F(X) \to H$.

Similarly for A(X).

- 1. X generates F(X) algebraically,
- every continuous function *f* : *X* → *H*, where *H* is any topological group, can be extended to a continuous homomorphism *f* : *F*(*X*) → *H*.

Similarly for A(X).

The existence of these groups was proved by Markov.

- 1. X generates F(X) algebraically,
- 2. every continuous function $f : X \to H$, where *H* is any topological group, can be extended to a continuous homomorphism $f : F(X) \to H$.

Similarly for A(X).

The existence of these groups was proved by Markov.

Theorem (JvMSSz)

Let X be a $T_{3.5}$ -space. Then

d(X) = d(F(X)) = d(A(X)).

If X is neat, then so are A(X) and F(X), and

pd(X) = pd(A(X)) = pd(F(X)).
• Hartman Mycielski construction

- Hartman Mycielski construction
- Let (G, \cdot, e) be a Tychonoff topological group.

$$G^{\bullet} = \{f \in {}^{[0,1)}G:$$

for some sequence $0 = a_0 < a_1 < \cdots < a_n = 1$

f is constant on $[a_k, a_{k+1})$ for every $k = 0, \ldots, n-1$.

- Hartman Mycielski construction
- Let (G, \cdot, e) be a Tychonoff topological group.

 $G^{\bullet} = \{ f \in {}^{[0,1)}G :$ for some sequence $0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_n = 1$ f is constant on $[a_k, a_{k+1})$ for every $k = 0, \dots, n-1 \}.$

• Define * on G^{\bullet} by $(f * g)(x) = f(x) \cdot g(x)$ for all $f, g \in G^{\bullet}$ and $x \in [0, 1)$.

- Hartman Mycielski construction
- Let (G, \cdot, e) be a Tychonoff topological group.

 $G^{\bullet} = \{ f \in {}^{[0,1)}G :$ for some sequence $0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_n = 1$ f is constant on $[a_k, a_{k+1})$ for every $k = 0, \dots, n-1 \}.$

- Define * on G^{\bullet} by $(f * g)(x) = f(x) \cdot g(x)$ for all $f, g \in G^{\bullet}$ and $x \in [0, 1)$.
- $(G^{\bullet}, *, e^{\bullet})$ is a group, where $e^{\bullet}(r) = e$ for each $r \in [0, 1)$.

- Hartman Mycielski construction
- Let (G, \cdot, e) be a Tychonoff topological group.

 $G^{\bullet} = \{ f \in {}^{[0,1)}G :$ for some sequence $0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_n = 1$ f is constant on $[a_k, a_{k+1})$ for every $k = 0, \dots, n-1 \}.$

- Define * on G^{\bullet} by $(f * g)(x) = f(x) \cdot g(x)$ for all $f, g \in G^{\bullet}$ and $x \in [0, 1)$.
- $(G^{\bullet}, *, e^{\bullet})$ is a group, where $e^{\bullet}(r) = e$ for each $r \in [0, 1)$.
- *G* embeds into G^{\bullet} via $x \mapsto x^{\bullet}$, where $x^{\bullet}(r) = x$ for every $r \in [0, 1)$.

- Hartman Mycielski construction
- Let (G, \cdot, e) be a Tychonoff topological group.

$$G^{\bullet} = \{ f \in {}^{[0,1)}G :$$

for some sequence $0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_n = 1$
 f is constant on $[a_k, a_{k+1})$ for every $k = 0, \dots, n-1 \}$.

- Define * on G^{\bullet} by $(f * g)(x) = f(x) \cdot g(x)$ for all $f, g \in G^{\bullet}$ and $x \in [0, 1)$.
- $(G^{\bullet}, *, e^{\bullet})$ is a group, where $e^{\bullet}(r) = e$ for each $r \in [0, 1)$.
- *G* embeds into G^{\bullet} via $x \mapsto x^{\bullet}$, where $x^{\bullet}(r) = x$ for every $r \in [0, 1)$.
- For $e \in V \in \tau_G$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, put

$$O(V,\varepsilon) = \{f \in G^{\bullet} : \lambda(\{r \in [0,1) : f(r) \notin V\})\} < \varepsilon\}$$

- Hartman Mycielski construction
- Let (G, \cdot, e) be a Tychonoff topological group.

$$G^{\bullet} = \left\{ f \in {}^{[0,1)}G : for some sequence 0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_n = 1 \\ f \text{ is constant on } [a_k, a_{k+1}) \text{ for every } k = 0, \dots, n-1 \right\}.$$

- Define * on G^{\bullet} by $(f * g)(x) = f(x) \cdot g(x)$ for all $f, g \in G^{\bullet}$ and $x \in [0, 1)$.
- $(G^{\bullet}, *, e^{\bullet})$ is a group, where $e^{\bullet}(r) = e$ for each $r \in [0, 1)$.
- *G* embeds into G^{\bullet} via $x \mapsto x^{\bullet}$, where $x^{\bullet}(r) = x$ for every $r \in [0, 1)$.
- For $e \in V \in \tau_G$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, put

 $O(V,\varepsilon) = \{f \in G^{\bullet} : \lambda(\{r \in [0,1) : f(r) \notin V\})\} < \varepsilon\}$

 The O(V, ε) are the neighborhoods of the element e[•] of G[•] that generate the topology.

Properties of Hartman Mycielski extension G•

Theorem

G[•] is a topological group and is pathwise connected and locally pathwise connected.

 $d(G^{\bullet}) \leq d(G).$

Theorem

 G^{\bullet} is a topological group and is pathwise connected and locally pathwise connected. $d(G^{\bullet}) \leq d(G)$.

Theorem (JvMSSz)

- $d(G) = d(G^{\bullet}).$
- If G is neat, and $|G| \ge 2^{\omega}$, then G[•] is neat and $pd(G^{\bullet}) = pd(G)$.

Theorem If X is compact then pd(X) = d(X).

Theorem If X is compact then pd(X) = d(X).

Question (JSSz)

- What about (regular) Lindelöf spaces?
- What about (regular) countably compact spaces?

Theorem If X is compact then pd(X) = d(X).

Question (JSSz)

- What about (regular) Lindelöf spaces?
- What about (regular) countably compact spaces?

Theorem (Juhász,van Mill, S, Szentmiklóssy) It is consistent that pd(X) < d(X) for some hereditarily Lindelöf regular space X.

Theorem If X is compact then pd(X) = d(X).

Question (JSSz)

- What about (regular) Lindelöf spaces?
- What about (regular) countably compact spaces?

Theorem (Juhász,van Mill, S, Szentmiklóssy) It is consistent that pd(X) < d(X) for some hereditarily Lindelöf regular space X.

Theorem (Juhász, Shelah)

For any singular cardinal μ it is consistent that there is a hereditarily Lindelöf regular space X such that $d(X) = \mu$.

Theorem If X is compact then pd(X) = d(X).

Question (JSSz)

- What about (regular) Lindelöf spaces?
- What about (regular) countably compact spaces?

Theorem (Juhász,van Mill, S, Szentmiklóssy) It is consistent that pd(X) < d(X) for some hereditarily Lindelöf regular space X.

Theorem (Juhász, Shelah)

For any singular cardinal μ it is consistent that there is a hereditarily Lindelöf regular space X such that $d(X) = \mu$.

Fact: $pd(X) = cf(\mu)$.

Theorem If X is compact then pd(X) = d(X).

Question (JSSz)

- What about (regular) Lindelöf spaces?
- What about (regular) countably compact spaces?

Theorem (Juhász,van Mill, S, Szentmiklóssy) It is consistent that pd(X) < d(X) for some hereditarily Lindelöf regular space X.

Theorem (Juhász, Shelah)

For any singular cardinal μ it is consistent that there is a hereditarily Lindelöf regular space X such that $d(X) = \mu$.

Fact: $pd(X) = cf(\mu)$.

Problem

Is it consistent that there is a hereditarily Lindelöf regular space X such that $d(X) = 2^{\omega} > cf(2^{\omega})$?

Theorem (JSSz) $d(X) \leq 2^{pd(X)}$.

Theorem (JSSz) $d(X) \leq 2^{pd(X)}$.

Sharp?

Theorem (JSSz) $d(X) \leq 2^{pd(X)}$.

Sharp?

Theorem (JSSz) $d(X) < 2^{pd(X)}$.

Theorem (JSSz) $d(X) \leq 2^{pd(X)}$.

Sharp?

Theorem (JSSz) $d(X) < 2^{pd(X)}$. Sharp?

Theorem (JSSz) $d(X) \le 2^{pd(X)}$. Sharp? Theorem (JSSz) $d(X) < 2^{pd(X)}$. Sharp? Yes. It is consistent that $2^{pd(X)}$ is as large a

It is consistent that $2^{pd(X)}$ is as large as you wish and $d(X)^+ = 2^{pd(X)}$.

- Pospisil: $|X| \le 2^{2^{d(X)}}$ for T_2 spaces
- $w(x) \leq 2^{d(x)}$ for T_3 spaces

- Pospisil: $|X| \le 2^{2^{d(X)}}$ for T_2 spaces
- $w(x) \le 2^{d(x)}$ for T_3 spaces

Theorem (JSSz) $|X| \le 2^{2^{pd(X)}}$ for T_2 spaces.

- Pospisil: $|X| \le 2^{2^{d(X)}}$ for T_2 spaces
- $w(x) \leq 2^{d(x)}$ for T_3 spaces

Theorem (JSSz) $|X| \le 2^{2^{pd(X)}}$ for T_2 spaces.

Theorem (JSSz) $If |X| = \Delta(X)$, then

- Pospisil: $|X| \le 2^{2^{d(X)}}$ for T_2 spaces
- $w(x) \leq 2^{d(x)}$ for T_3 spaces

Theorem (JSSz) $|X| \le 2^{2^{pd(X)}}$ for T_2 spaces.

Theorem (JSSz) $If |X| = \Delta(X)$, then

• either pd(X) = d(X) and $|X| \le 2^{2^{pd(X)}}$, or

- Pospisil: $|X| \le 2^{2^{d(X)}}$ for T_2 spaces
- $w(x) \leq 2^{d(x)}$ for T_3 spaces

Theorem (JSSz) $|X| \le 2^{2^{pd(X)}}$ for T_2 spaces.

Theorem (JSSz) $If |X| = \Delta(X)$, then

- either pd(X) = d(X) and $|X| \le 2^{2^{pd(X)}}$, or
- pd(X) < d(X) and $|X| < 2^{pd(X)}$.

- Pospisil: $|X| \le 2^{2^{d(X)}}$ for T_2 spaces
- $w(x) \leq 2^{d(x)}$ for T_3 spaces

Theorem (JSSz) $|X| \le 2^{2^{pd(X)}}$ for T_2 spaces.

Theorem (JSSz) If $|X| = \Delta(X)$, then

- either pd(X) = d(X) and $|X| \le 2^{2^{pd(X)}}$, or
- pd(X) < d(X) and $|X| < 2^{pd(X)}$.

Problem Does $w(x) \le 2^{pd(x)}$ hold for regular spaces? The

6th European Set Theory Conference 2017

will be organized in Budapest from

July 3 – 7, 2017.

Homepage:

http://www.renyi.hu/~6thestc