

On group-valued continuous functions: κ -groups and reflexivity

Gábor Lukács

lukacs@topgroups.ca

Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada

Notations

For $X, Y \in \text{Haus}$ and $G, H \in \text{Ab}(\text{Haus})$:

- $\mathcal{C}(X, Y) :=$ cts functions, with compact-open topology.
- $\mathcal{C}(X, G)$ is a top. group with pointwise operations.
- $\mathcal{H}(G, H) := \mathcal{C}(G, H) \cap \text{hom}(G, H)$.

Put $\mathbb{T} := \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$.

- $\hat{G} := \mathcal{H}(G, \mathbb{T})$.
- $\alpha_G: G \rightarrow \hat{G}$ is the evaluation homomorphism,
 $(\alpha_A(g))(\chi) = \chi(g)$.

Four questions about α_G

- Is α_G injective?
- Is α_G surjective?
- Is α_G cts?
- Is α_G open onto its image?

Four questions about α_G

- Is α_G injective?
 - There is a coarser Hausdorff group topology τ on \mathbb{R} such that $\widehat{(\mathbb{R}, \tau)} = 0$ (Nienhuys, 1971).
- Is α_G surjective?
- Is α_G cts?
- Is α_G open onto its image?

Four questions about α_G

- Is α_G injective?
 - There is a coarser Hausdorff group topology τ on \mathbb{R} such that $\widehat{(\mathbb{R}, \tau)} = 0$ (Nienhuys, 1971).
- Is α_G surjective?
 - $\alpha_{L_{\mathbb{Z}}^p([0,1])}$ is not onto (Außenhofer, 1999), where $L_{\mathbb{Z}}^p([0,1]) :=$ a.e. integer funcs. in $L^p([0,1])$, $1 < p < \infty$.
- Is α_G cts?
- Is α_G open onto its image?

Four questions about α_G

- Is α_G injective?
 - There is a coarser Hausdorff group topology τ on \mathbb{R} such that $\widehat{(\mathbb{R}, \tau)} = 0$ (Nienhuys, 1971).
- Is α_G surjective?
 - $\alpha_{L_{\mathbb{Z}}^p([0,1])}$ is not onto (Außenhofer, 1999), where $L_{\mathbb{Z}}^p([0,1]) :=$ a.e. integer funcs. in $L^p([0,1])$, $1 < p < \infty$.
- Is α_G cts?
 - $\alpha_{\mathbb{Z}^+}$ is not cts, where $\mathbb{Z}^+ := (\mathbb{Z}, \text{Bohr topology})$.
- Is α_G open onto its image?

Four questions about α_G

- Is α_G injective?
 - There is a coarser Hausdorff group topology τ on \mathbb{R} such that $\widehat{(\mathbb{R}, \tau)} = 0$ (Nienhuys, 1971).
- Is α_G surjective?
 - $\alpha_{L_{\mathbb{Z}}^p([0,1])}$ is not onto (Außenhofer, 1999), where $L_{\mathbb{Z}}^p([0,1]) :=$ a.e. integer funcs. in $L^p([0,1])$, $1 < p < \infty$.
- Is α_G cts?
 - $\alpha_{\mathbb{Z}^+}$ is not cts, where $\mathbb{Z}^+ := (\mathbb{Z}, \text{Bohr topology})$.
- Is α_G open onto its image?
 - α_V is not open onto its image for a non-locally convex topological vector space V .

Four questions about α_G

- Is α_G injective?
- Is α_G surjective?
- Is α_G cts?
- Is α_G open onto its image?

Terminology:

- G is **reflexive** if α_G is a topological isomorphism.
- G is **almost reflexive** if α_G is an open isomorphism.

Pontryagin duality for LCA

For $L \in \text{LCA}$:

- $\hat{\hat{L}} \in \text{LCA}$.
- L is reflexive.

Pontryagin duality for LCA

For $L \in \text{LCA}$:

- $\hat{L} \in \text{LCA}$.
- L is reflexive.
- If $H \leq L$ is a closed subgroup, then:
 - $\widehat{L/H} \cong H^\perp$, and $\hat{H} \cong \hat{L}/H^\perp$, where $H^\perp := \{\chi \in \hat{L} \mid \chi(H) = 0\}$; and

Pontryagin duality for LCA

For $L \in \text{LCA}$:

- $\hat{L} \in \text{LCA}$.
- L is reflexive.
- If $H \leq L$ is a closed subgroup, then:
 - $\widehat{L/H} \cong H^\perp$, and $\hat{H} \cong \hat{L}/H^\perp$, where $H^\perp := \{\chi \in \hat{L} \mid \chi(H) = 0\}$; and
 - if H is compact, then H^\perp is open in \hat{L} .

Pontryagin duality for LCA

For $L \in \text{LCA}$:

- $\hat{L} \in \text{LCA}$.
- L is reflexive.
- If $H \leq L$ is a closed subgroup, then:
 - $\widehat{L/H} \cong H^\perp$, and $\hat{H} \cong \hat{L}/H^\perp$, where $H^\perp := \{\chi \in \hat{L} \mid \chi(H) = 0\}$; and
 - if H is compact, then H^\perp is open in \hat{L} .
- $c(L)^\perp = B(\hat{L})$ and $B(L)^\perp = c(\hat{L})$, where:
 - $c(L) :=$ connected component of 0 in L .
 - $B(L) := \{x \in L \mid \overline{\langle x \rangle}$ is compact $\}$.

Observations and motivation

Let $X \in \text{Haus}$ and $G \in \text{Ab}(\text{Haus})$.

- If α_G is injective, then so is $\alpha_{\mathcal{C}(X,G)}$.
- If α_G is an embedding, then $\alpha_{\mathcal{C}(X,G)}$ is open onto its image (G being LQC implies that $\mathcal{C}(X,G)$ is so).

Observations and motivation

Let $X \in \text{Haus}$ and $G \in \text{Ab}(\text{Haus})$.

- If α_G is injective, then so is $\alpha_{\mathcal{C}(X,G)}$.
- If α_G is an embedding, then $\alpha_{\mathcal{C}(X,G)}$ is open onto its image (G being LQC implies that $\mathcal{C}(X,G)$ is so).

Motivation

- Is $\mathcal{C}(X,G)$ (almost) reflexive?
- What does $\widehat{\mathcal{C}(X,G)}$ look like?

Hausdorff k -spaces

Let $X, Y \in \text{Haus}$ and $G \in \text{Ab}(\text{Haus})$.

- $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is k -cts if $f|_K$ is cts for every compact $K \subseteq X$.

Hausdorff k -spaces

Let $X, Y \in \text{Haus}$ and $G \in \text{Ab}(\text{Haus})$.

- $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is k -cts if $f|_K$ is cts for every compact $K \subseteq X$.
- α_G is k -continuous.

Hausdorff k -spaces

Let $X, Y \in \text{Haus}$ and $G \in \text{Ab}(\text{Haus})$.

- $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is k -cts if $f|_K$ is cts for every compact $K \subseteq X$.
- α_G is k -continuous.
- X is a k -space if every k -cts map on X is cts.

Hausdorff k -spaces

Let $X, Y \in \text{Haus}$ and $G \in \text{Ab}(\text{Haus})$.

- $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is k -cts if $f|_K$ is cts for every compact $K \subseteq X$.
 - α_G is k -continuous.
- X is a k -space if every k -cts map on X is cts.
 - If X is LC or metrizable, then it is a k -space.
 - If X is a k -space and Y is locally compact, then $X \times Y$ is a k -space.
 - If X is a k -space and G is complete, then $\mathcal{C}(X, G)$ is complete.

Hausdorff k -spaces

Let $X, Y \in \text{Haus}$ and $G \in \text{Ab}(\text{Haus})$.

- $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is k -cts if $f|_K$ is cts for every compact $K \subseteq X$.
 - α_G is k -continuous.
- X is a k -space if every k -cts map on X is cts.
- X is hemicompact if its family of compact subsets contains a countable cofinal family (cobase).

Hausdorff k -spaces

Let $X, Y \in \text{Haus}$ and $G \in \text{Ab}(\text{Haus})$.

- $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is k -cts if $f|_K$ is cts for every compact $K \subseteq X$.
 - α_G is k -continuous.
- X is a k -space if every k -cts map on X is cts.
- X is **hemicompact** if its family of compact subsets contains a countable cofinal family (cobase).
 - If X is hemicompact and G is metrizable, then $\mathcal{C}(X, G)$ is metrizable.

Special cases

Let X be a Tychonoff k -space.

- $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{T})$ is almost reflexive (Außenhofer, 1999).
- $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is almost reflexive (because it is a complete locally convex vector space).
- $\mathcal{C}(X, D)$ is almost reflexive for every discrete group D (because it is complete and has a linear topology).
- Thus, $\mathcal{C}(X, G)$ is almost reflexive for every abelian Lie group $(G = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{T}^k \times D)$.

Special cases

Let X be a hemicompact k -space.

- $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{T})$ is reflexive (Außenhofer, 1999).
- $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is reflexive (because it is a complete metrizable locally convex vector space).
- $\mathcal{C}(X, D)$ is reflexive for every discrete group D (because it is complete, metrizable, and has a linear topology).
- Thus, $\mathcal{C}(X, G)$ is reflexive for every abelian Lie group ($G = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{T}^k \times D$).

Theorems (GL, 2015)

- If X is a Tychonoff k -space and $G \in \text{LCA}$, then:
 - $\mathcal{C}(X, G)$ is almost reflexive;
 - $\widehat{\mathcal{C}(X, G)} \approx \varinjlim \widehat{\mathcal{C}(K, G/C)}$, where $K \subseteq X$ is compact and $C \leq G$ is compact such that G/C is a Lie group.

Theorems (GL, 2015)

- If X is a Tychonoff k -space and $G \in \text{LCA}$, then:
 - $\mathcal{C}(X, G)$ is almost reflexive;
 - $\widehat{\mathcal{C}(X, G)} \approx \varinjlim \widehat{\mathcal{C}(K, G/C)}$, where $K \subseteq X$ is compact and $C \leq G$ is compact such that G/C is a Lie group.
- If X is a hemicompact k -space, $G \in \text{LCA}$, and G is metrizable, then $\mathcal{C}(X, G)$ is reflexive.

Theorems (GL, 2015)

- If X is a Tychonoff k -space and $G \in \text{LCA}$, then:
 - $\mathcal{C}(X, G)$ is almost reflexive;
 - $\widehat{\mathcal{C}(X, G)} \approx \varinjlim \widehat{\mathcal{C}(K, G/C)}$, where $K \subseteq X$ is compact and $C \leq G$ is compact such that G/C is a Lie group.
- If X is a hemicompact k -space, $G \in \text{LCA}$, and G is metrizable, then $\mathcal{C}(X, G)$ is reflexive.
- If X is compact metrizable and zero-dimensional, and $G \in \text{LCA}$, then $\mathcal{C}(X, G)$ is reflexive.

k -groups of Noble (1970)

Let $G \in \text{Grp}(\text{Haus})$.

- G is a k -group if every k -cts homomorphism on G is cts.

k -groups of Noble (1970)

Let $G \in \text{Grp}(\text{Haus})$.

- G is a k -group if every k -cts homomorphism on G is cts.
- If G is a k -space, then it is a k -group.

k -groups of Noble (1970)

Let $G \in \text{Grp}(\text{Haus})$.

- G is a k -group if every k -cts homomorphism on G is cts.
- If G is a k -space, then it is a k -group.
- If G is a k -space that is not LC and $\mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{R})$ is metrizable, then $G \times \mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{R})$ is a k -group, but not a k -space. [Hint: evaluation is k -cts but not cts.]

k -groups of Noble (1970)

Let $G \in \text{Grp}(\text{Haus})$.

- G is a k -group if every k -cts homomorphism on G is cts.
- If G is a k -space, then it is a k -group.
- If G is a k -space that is not LC and $\mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{R})$ is metrizable, then $G \times \mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{R})$ is a k -group, but not a k -space. [Hint: evaluation is k -cts but not cts.]
- If $H \leq G$ is an open subgroup, then H is a k -group $\iff G$ is a k -group.

k -groups of Noble (1970)

Let $G \in \text{Grp}(\text{Haus})$.

- G is a k -group if every k -cts homomorphism on G is cts.
- If G is a k -space, then it is a k -group.
- If G is a k -space that is not LC and $\mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{R})$ is metrizable, then $G \times \mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{R})$ is a k -group, but not a k -space. [Hint: evaluation is k -cts but not cts.]
- If $H \leq G$ is an open subgroup, then H is a k -group $\iff G$ is a k -group.
- Product of an arbitrary family of k -groups is a k -group.

Theorem (GL, 2016)

If X is a compact Hausdorff space such that $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{T})$ (or equivalently, $\pi^1(X)$) is divisible and G is LCA, then:

- $\mathcal{C}(X, G)$ is a k -group; and
- $\mathcal{C}(X, G)$ is reflexive.

Theorem (GL, 2016)

If X is a compact Hausdorff space such that $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{T})$ (or equivalently, $\pi^1(X)$) is divisible and G is LCA, then:

- $\mathcal{C}(X, G)$ is a k -group; and
- $\mathcal{C}(X, G)$ is reflexive.

If X is compact and zero-dimensional, then $\pi^1(X) = 0$, and in particular, divisible. Thus:

Theorem (GL, 2016)

If X is a compact Hausdorff space such that $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{T})$ (or equivalently, $\pi^1(X)$) is divisible and G is LCA, then:

- $\mathcal{C}(X, G)$ is a k -group; and
- $\mathcal{C}(X, G)$ is reflexive.

If X is compact and zero-dimensional, then $\pi^1(X) = 0$, and in particular, divisible. Thus:

- If X is compact Hausdorff and zero-dimensional, and $G \in \text{LCA}$, then $\mathcal{C}(X, G)$ is reflexive.

Idea of the proof

Redaction 1

Let $G \in \text{LCA}$ and X compact Hausdorff.

- $G \cong \mathbb{R}^n \times H$, where H contains a compact open subgroup.
- $\mathcal{C}(X, G) \cong \mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})^n \times \mathcal{C}(X, H)$.
- $\mathcal{C}(X, G)$ a k -group $\iff \mathcal{C}(X, H)$ a k -group.

Thus, WLOG, G contains a compact open subgroup.

Redaction 2

Let $G \in \text{LCA}$ with a compact open subgroup O , and X compact Hausdorff.

- $\mathcal{C}(X, O)$ is an open subgroup of $\mathcal{C}(X, G)$.
- $\mathcal{C}(X, G)$ is a k -group $\iff \mathcal{C}(X, O)$ is a k -group.

Thus, WLOG, G is compact.

Redaction 3

Let G be a compact abelian group and X a compact Hausdorff space such that $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{T})$ is divisible.

- $D :=$ the divisible hull of \hat{G} .
- $q: \mathcal{C}(X, \hat{D}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(X, G)$ is onto.
- It suffices to show that:
 - $\mathcal{C}(X, \hat{D})$ is a k -group; and
 - q is a quotient map.

Special case

If G is compact abelian with \hat{G} divisible and X is compact Hausdorff, then:

- $\hat{G} \cong \bigoplus D_\alpha$, where $D_\alpha \cong \mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$ (countable).
- $G \cong \prod \hat{D}_\alpha$.
- $\mathcal{C}(X, G) \cong \prod \mathcal{C}(X, \hat{D}_\alpha)$ is a k -group, because:
 - each \hat{D}_α is metrizable.

Main Lemma

Let

- X be compact Hausdorff such that $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{T})$ is divisible;
- G a compact group; and
- H a zero-dimensional subgroup.

Then

$$q: \mathcal{C}(X, G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(X, G/H)$$

is a quotient map.

Applications: Answers to Gabriyelyan's problems

$\mathfrak{F}_0^I(G)$ and $\mathfrak{F}_\infty^I(G)$

Let I be discrete, and put $I_\infty := I \cup \{*\}$ (compactification).

- $\mathfrak{F}_0^I(G) := \{(g_i)_{i \in I} \mid \lim g_i = 0\}$, with the uniform topology.
- $\mathfrak{F}_\infty^I(G) := \{(g_i)_{i \in I} \mid \{g_i\} \text{ precomp}\}$, with the uniform top.

$\mathfrak{F}_0^I(G)$ and $\mathfrak{F}_\infty^I(G)$

Let I be discrete, and put $I_\infty := I \cup \{*\}$ (compactification).

- $\mathfrak{F}_0^I(G) := \{(g_i)_{i \in I} \mid \lim g_i = 0\}$, with the uniform topology.
- $\mathfrak{F}_\infty^I(G) := \{(g_i)_{i \in I} \mid \{g_i\} \text{ precomp}\}$, with the uniform top.
- $\mathcal{C}(I_\infty, G) \cong \mathfrak{F}_0^I(G) \oplus G$ and $\mathcal{C}(\beta I, G) \cong \mathfrak{F}_\infty^I(G)$.

$\mathfrak{F}_0^I(G)$ and $\mathfrak{F}_\infty^I(G)$

Let I be discrete, and put $I_\infty := I \cup \{*\}$ (compactification).

- $\mathfrak{F}_0^I(G) := \{(g_i)_{i \in I} \mid \lim g_i = 0\}$, with the uniform topology.
- $\mathfrak{F}_\infty^I(G) := \{(g_i)_{i \in I} \mid \{g_i\} \text{ precomp}\}$, with the uniform top.
- $\mathcal{C}(I_\infty, G) \cong \mathfrak{F}_0^I(G) \oplus G$ and $\mathcal{C}(\beta I, G) \cong \mathfrak{F}_\infty^I(G)$.
- $\mathcal{C}(K, G)$ is reflexive for all compact zero-dimensional K and $G \in \text{LCA}$, and thus so are $\mathfrak{F}_0^I(G)$ and $\mathfrak{F}_\infty^I(G)$.

$\mathfrak{F}_0^I(G)$ and $\mathfrak{F}_\infty^I(G)$

Let I be discrete, and put $I_\infty := I \cup \{*\}$ (compactification).

- $\mathfrak{F}_0^I(G) := \{(g_i)_{i \in I} \mid \lim g_i = 0\}$, with the uniform topology.
- $\mathfrak{F}_\infty^I(G) := \{(g_i)_{i \in I} \mid \{g_i\} \text{ precomp}\}$, with the uniform top.
- $\mathcal{C}(I_\infty, G) \cong \mathfrak{F}_0^I(G) \oplus G$ and $\mathcal{C}(\beta I, G) \cong \mathfrak{F}_\infty^I(G)$.
- $\mathcal{C}(K, G)$ is reflexive for all compact zero-dimensional K and $G \in \text{LCA}$, and thus so are $\mathfrak{F}_0^I(G)$ and $\mathfrak{F}_\infty^I(G)$.
- If G is metrizable, then $\mathfrak{F}_0^I(G)$ and $\mathfrak{F}_\infty^I(G)$ are reflexive.

Ideas of the proof: almost reflexivity

Limits

- $G \in \text{Grp}(\text{Haus})$ has **No Small Subgroups (NSS)** if there is $U \in \mathcal{N}(G)$ such that U contains only the trivial subgroup.

Limits

- $G \in \text{Grp}(\text{Haus})$ has **No Small Subgroups (NSS)** if there is $U \in \mathcal{N}(G)$ such that U contains only the trivial subgroup.
- For $G \in \text{LCA}$, the following are equivalent:
 - G is NSS;
 - G is a Lie group;
 - $G \cong \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{T}^k \times D$, where D is discrete; and
 - \hat{G} is compactly generated.

Limits

- $G \in \text{Grp}(\text{Haus})$ has **No Small Subgroups (NSS)** if there is $U \in \mathcal{N}(G)$ such that U contains only the trivial subgroup.
- For $G \in \text{LCA}$, the following are equivalent:
 - G is NSS;
 - G is a Lie group;
 - $G \cong \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{T}^k \times D$, where D is discrete; and
 - \hat{G} is compactly generated.

Let X be a Tychonoff k -space and $G \in \text{LCA}$.

- $G = \varprojlim G/C$, where C is compact and G/C is NSS.

Limits

- For $G \in \text{LCA}$, the following are equivalent:
 - G is NSS;
 - G is a Lie group;
 - $G \cong \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{T}^k \times D$, where D is discrete; and
 - \hat{G} is compactly generated.

Let X be a Tychonoff k -space and $G \in \text{LCA}$.

- $G = \varprojlim G/C$, where C is compact and G/C is NSS.
- $\mathcal{C}(X, G) = \varprojlim \mathcal{C}(K, G/C)$, where $K \subseteq X$ is compact and $C \leq G$ is compact such that G/C is NSS.

Dually embedded limits

- For $G \in \text{Ab}(\text{Haus})$, a subgroup H is **dually embedded** in G if $\widehat{\text{inc}}_H: \hat{G} \rightarrow \hat{H}$ is surjective.

Dually embedded limits

- For $G \in \text{Ab}(\text{Haus})$, a subgroup H is **dually embedded** in G if $\widehat{\text{inc}}_H: \hat{G} \rightarrow \hat{H}$ is surjective.
 - Every open subgroup is dually embedded.
 - Every subgroup of an LCA is dually embedded.

Dually embedded limits

- For $G \in \text{Ab}(\text{Haus})$, a subgroup H is **dually embedded** in G if $\widehat{\text{inc}}_H: \hat{G} \rightarrow \hat{H}$ is surjective.

Let $\{G_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ be an inverse system of abelian groups (for every $\alpha, \beta \in I$ there is $\gamma \in I$ such that $\gamma \leq \alpha, \beta$). Put:

- $P = \prod G_\alpha$ and $\pi_\alpha: P \rightarrow G_\alpha$;
- $G = \varprojlim G_\alpha$.

Dually embedded limits

- For $G \in \text{Ab}(\text{Haus})$, a subgroup H is **dually embedded** in G if $\widehat{\text{inc}}_H: \hat{G} \rightarrow \hat{H}$ is surjective.

Let $\{G_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ be an inverse system of abelian groups (for every $\alpha, \beta \in I$ there is $\gamma \in I$ such that $\gamma \leq \alpha, \beta$). Put:

- $P = \prod G_\alpha$ and $\pi_\alpha: P \rightarrow G_\alpha$;
- $G = \varprojlim G_\alpha$.

If $\pi_\alpha(G)$ is dually embedded in G_α for every $\alpha \in I$, then:

- G is dually embedded in P and $\varinjlim \hat{G}_\alpha \rightarrow \hat{G}$ is onto;
- if each G_α is almost reflexive, then so is G .

Dually embedded limits

Let $\{G_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ be an inverse system of abelian groups (for every $\alpha, \beta \in I$ there is $\gamma \in I$ such that $\gamma \leq \alpha, \beta$). Put:

- $P = \prod G_\alpha$ and $\pi_\alpha: P \rightarrow G_\alpha$;
- $G = \varprojlim G_\alpha$.

If $\pi_\alpha(G)$ is dually embedded in G_α for every $\alpha \in I$, then:

- G is dually embedded in P and $\varinjlim \hat{G}_\alpha \rightarrow \hat{G}$ is onto;
- if each G_α is almost reflexive, then so is G .

Hence, it suffices to show that the image of $\mathcal{C}(X, G)$ is open in $\mathcal{C}(K, G/C)$ for $K \subseteq X$ and $C \leq G$ compact, and G/C NSS.

The Lie algebra and the exponential map

For $G \in \text{Grp}(\text{Haus})$:

- $\mathcal{L}(G) := \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}, G)$;
- $\exp_G := \text{ev}_1 : \mathcal{L}(G) \rightarrow G$ is a cts homomorphism.

The Lie algebra and the exponential map

For $G \in \text{Grp}(\text{Haus})$:

- $\mathcal{L}(G) := \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}, G)$;
- $\exp_G := \text{ev}_1 : \mathcal{L}(G) \rightarrow G$ is a cts homomorphism.

If X is a k -space, then:

- $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{C}(X, G)) = \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{C}(X, G)) \cong \mathcal{C}(X, \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}, G))$
 $= \mathcal{C}(X, \mathcal{L}(G))$ [because $X \times \mathbb{R}$ is a k -space].
- $(\exp_G)_* = \exp_{\mathcal{C}(X, G)} : \mathcal{C}(X, \mathcal{L}(G)) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X, G)$.

The Lie algebra and the exponential map

For $G \in \text{Grp}(\text{Haus})$:

- $\mathcal{L}(G) := \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}, G)$;
- $\exp_G := \text{ev}_1 : \mathcal{L}(G) \rightarrow G$ is a cts homomorphism.

If X is a k -space, then:

- $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{C}(X, G)) = \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{C}(X, G)) \cong \mathcal{C}(X, \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}, G))$
 $= \mathcal{C}(X, \mathcal{L}(G))$ [because $X \times \mathbb{R}$ is a k -space].
- $(\exp_G)_* = \exp_{\mathcal{C}(X, G)} : \mathcal{C}(X, \mathcal{L}(G)) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X, G)$.

If K is compact and H is LCA and NSS, then:

- \exp_H is a local homeomorphism; and thus
- $(\exp_H)_* = \exp_{\mathcal{C}(K, H)}$ has an open image.

A commutative diagram

For $X \in \text{kTych}$, $K \subseteq X$ compact, $G \in \text{LCA}$, and $C \leq G$ compact such that G/C is NSS:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{C}(X, \mathcal{L}(G)) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}(\pi_C)_*} & \mathcal{C}(X, \mathcal{L}(G/C)) & \xrightarrow{R_K^*} & \mathcal{C}(K, \mathcal{L}(G/C)) \\
 \downarrow (\exp_G)_* & & \downarrow & & \downarrow (\exp_{G/C})_* \\
 \mathcal{C}(X, G) & \xrightarrow{(\pi_C)_*} & \mathcal{C}(X, G/C) & \xrightarrow{r_K^*} & \mathcal{C}(K, G/C)
 \end{array}$$

- $(\exp_{G/C})_*$ has an open image;
- R_K^* is onto by Tietze's Theorem, because $\mathcal{L}(G/C) \cong \mathbb{R}^l$;
- $\mathcal{L}(\pi_C)_*$ is onto, because $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathcal{L}(G)) \cong \mathcal{H}(\hat{G}, \mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R}))$, $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is divisible, and $\widehat{G/C} \cong C^\perp$ is open in \hat{G} .

Bibliography

- [1] L. Aussenhofer. Contributions to the duality theory of abelian topological groups and to the theory of nuclear groups. *Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.)*, 384:113, 1999.
- [2] S.S. Gabrielyan On topological properties of the group of the null sequences valued in an Abelian topological group. *Preprint*, 2013. ArXiv: 1306.5117v2.
- [3] J. Galindo and S. Hernández. Pontryagin-van Kampen reflexivity for free abelian topological groups. *Forum Math.*, 11:399-415, 1999.
- [4] V. G. Pestov. Free abelian topological groups and the Pontryagin van Kampen duality. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 52:297-311, 1995.